Appendix A: Draft Watershed Workbook

Appendix A includes a draft version of the Lower Occoquan watershed workbook (WW), which summarizes the overall condition of the Lower Occoquan watershed. This draft document was not intended to be updated past the point in the characterization process at which it was published. This document reflects the Lower Occoquan Watershed characterization work up to the point in the process where the WAG involvement began. This means that some of the information, maps, or tables in this document might have since become outdated.

The Lower Occoquan watershed is comprised of eight small watersheds: Old Mill Branch, Wolf Run, Sandy Run, Ryans Dam, Occoquan, Mill Branch, Kane Creek, and High Point. For Fairfax County planning and management purposes, most watersheds are subdivided into watershed management areas (WMAs), which typically consist of approximately four square miles (2,560 acres), each draining to a specific stream or tributary. For most of the small watersheds in Lower Occoquan, the entire watersheds themselves are defined as WMAs with the exception of the larger Mill Branch watershed, which has been divided into 3 individual WMAs. Fairfax County has further subdivided each WMA into smaller areas, herein called subwatersheds, which are typically 100-300 acres each. These areas are used to identify specific projects or opportunities for enhancement of the overall watershed and serve as the basic unit for watershed modeling and other evaluations.

A summary review of the existing conditions of the entire Lower Occoquan watershed are found in Chapter 1, whereas descriptions of each WMA within the Lower Occoquan watershed are detailed in Chapter 2.


LOWER OCCOQUAN
DRAFT
Watershed Workbook
January 2009
Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
Ryans Dam
Sandy Run
Occoquan
Mill Branch
Kane Creek
BELMONT BAY
GUNSTON COVE
OCCOQUAN BAY
High Point
POTOMAC RIVER
DRAFT
Fairfax County
Watershed Planning &
Assessment Branch
Stormwater Planning Division
Department of Public Works &
Environmental Services

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Maps

Chapter 1	**Map**	**Location**
Map 1.1	Fairfax County Watershed	Ch. 1 Maps –End of Chapter
Map 1.2	Lower Occoquan Watersheds	
Map 1.3	Lower Occoquan Existing & Future Land Use Map	
1.4	Lower Occoquan Resource Protection Areas Map 1.5	
Lower Occoquan Impaired Waters		

Chapter 2	**Map**	**Location**
Map 2.1	LO Watershed Management Areas	Ch 2. Maps – End of Each Section
Map 2.2	LO WMA/ Subwatersheds	
Map 2.2.1-1	Giles Run North Existing & Future Land Use	
Map 2.2.1-2	Giles Run North Stream Conditions	
Map 2.2.1-3	Giles Run North Stormwater Infrastructure	
Map 2.2.2-1	Giles Run South Ex. & Future Land Use	
Map 2.3.2-2	Giles Run South Stormwater Infrastructure	
Map 2.2.2-3	Giles Run South Stream Conditions	
Map 2.2.3-1	Mill Branch Ex. & Future Land Use	
Map 2.2.3-2	Mill Branch Stormwater Infrastructure	
Map 2.3.3-3	Mill Branch Stream Conditions	
Map 2.2.4-1	Sandy Run Ex. & Future Land Use	
Map 2.2.4-2	Sandy Run Stormwater Infrastructure	
Map 2.2.4-3	Sandy Run Stream Conditions	
Map 2.2.5-1	High Point Ex. & Future Land Use	
Map 2.2.5-2	High Point Stormwater Infrastructure	
Map 2.2.5-3	High Point Stream Conditions	
Map 2.2.6-1	Wolf Run Ex. & Future Land Use	
Map 2.2.6-2	Wolf Run Stormwater Infrastructure	

1.0 Compilation of Overall Watershed Condition Data

1.1 General Watershed Characteristics

The Lower Occoquan watershed is located along the southwestern border of Fairfax County. It comprises eight small watersheds: Old Mill Branch, Wolf Run, Sandy Run, Ryans Dam, Occoquan, Mill Branch, Kane Creek, and High Point. As Table 1 illustrates, collectively, these watersheds serve a drainage area of over 44 square miles. See **Map 1.1 and Map 1.2** for Fairfax County, and Lower Occoquan watershed respectively. Map 1.2 illustrates the relative locations of these watersheds within the Lower Occoquan Watershed.

Table 1: Lower Occoquan Watersheds

Watersheds	Area (sq. miles)	Area (Acres)	Rank Size
Mill Branch	8.75	5,598	1
Sandy Run	8.12	5,198	2
Wolf Run	5.88	3,762	3
High Point	5.55	3,555	4
Kane Creek	4.81	3,076	5
Old Mill Branch	4.26	2,724	6
Ryans Dam	3.53	2,262	7
Occoquan	3.32	2,126	8
Watershed Total	**44.22**	**28,301**	

The Lower Occoquan watershed has many unique facets; it is home to local, regional, state and federal parks including Laurel Hill (formerly the District of Columbia Department of Correction Facility, located in Lorton), Fountainhead Regional Park, Mason Neck State Park and the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge. In addition, it contains the Occoquan Reservoir which serves as one of the two major drinking water sources for Fairfax County. More than half of the watersheds fall within the Water Supply Protection Overlay District (WSPOD). WSPOD was established in 1982 to protect water quality in the Occoquan Reservoir. With the exception of Mill Branch, Kane Creek, and High Point, the remaining watersheds lie within the WSPOD.

In addition, much of northern portion of Lower Occoquan lies in the R-C District or Residential-Conservation district. The R-C District was established to protect streams, ecological areas, and minimize impervious surfaces to protect water quality. R-C district restricts development size within the watershed to a minimum of 5 acres per residential dwelling unit. Consequently, the Lower Occoquan is one of the least developed watersheds in the County. As a result of minimal development, large parks and open space, the overall stream habitat condition of the watershed is considered good to excellent. The Lower Occoquan watershed contains some of the highest stream quality in Fairfax County.



Figure 1: WSPOD & R-C District

Lower Occoquan watershed is fairly equally divided between two physiographic provinces: the Piedmont Upland province and the Coastal Plain province. Approximately 57 percent of the land within the Lower Occoquan watershed lies within the hard, Paleozoic metamorphic rocks of the Piedmont Upland physiographic province, while the remaining 43 percent lies within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, which is characterized by soft, flat Mesozoic and Tertiary sedimentary rocks. Both provinces have characteristic gently sloping landscapes; however, the streams of the Coastal Plain are dominated by low-velocity pool-and-glide habitats while the streams of the Piedmont have higher-velocity riffle-run habitats. According to the Virginia Department of Quality (VDEQ), the "Coastal Plain region is the only one in Virginia that is composed mostly of unconsolidated deposits, primarily alternating layers of sand, gravel, shell rock, silt, and clay and more ground water is stored in these very permeable materials than in any other province in the state(VDEQ, Physiographic Provinces of Virginia)".

1.2 Population Growth and Watershed History

Fairfax County"s original boundary lines were drawn in 1741, yet over the next 50 years, portions of the County would become areas of the District of Columbia and Loudoun County. From 1750 to 1930, Fairfax County was largely considered agricultural, with a large population of dairy and tobacco farms. Over the next 20 years the population would grow from 25,000 in 1930 to almost 100,000 by 1950. The availability of the automobile and the expansion of the federal government were key factors for the County"s population boom to 450,000 by the 1970"s. Over the next 20 years, as even more job opportunities became available, the population nearly doubled to 800,000, and by 2005, Fairfax County exceeded 1 million residents. Fairfax County as a whole is expected to experience more than a 37% population increase over the next 20 years.

Table 2: Growth Trends in Fairfax County 1990-2025

Year	Population (thousands)	Households (thousands)	Employment (thousands)
1990	818.6	292.3	403.7
2000	968.2	353.4	526.4
2010	1,112.9	412.5	644.4
2020	1,184.1	438.1	701.3
2025	1,203.7	445.0	727.8

(Source: Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments 2006)

Two large dams were built along the Occoquan River in the mid 1950"s and 1960"s to meet the increasing population"s drinking water supply demands. These dams resulted in an impoundment of nearly 9.8 billion gallons of water. As a result of the rapid population growth, detrimental impacts to the County"s natural resources began to surface, and in 1982 the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors approved the WSPOD, a down-zoning of more than 41,000 acres.

1.3 Existing & Future Land Use

Historically, Lower Occoquan has experienced relatively minimal development which has resulted in a low overall impervious area. Data collected from current County geographic information systems (GIS) illustrates the small percentages of impervious development.

Overall the Lower Occoquan watershed is dominated by two primary land types: Estate Residential and Open Space, both of which have very low imperviousness values. By examining future land use type data in the table below, and **Map 1.3**, residential land use increases by less than 3.5 square miles with the majority of increase reflected in estate residential, industrial land use should decrease by more than 0.5 square mile. In addition, commercial land use will increase less than 0.03% in the entire watershed; therefore Lower Occoquan is predicted to experience a very slight increase in imperviousness in the overall watershed. The entire impact to the Lower Occoquan watershed is less than a tenth of a percent change in land use.

Table 3: Existing & Future Land Use Lower Occoquan (Co. GIS dataset)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description		**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	12,324.53	43.55%	10,672.95	37.71%
Golf Course	10.60	0.04%	10.60	0.04%
Estate Residential	10,318.35	36.46%	11,762.44	41.56%
Low-Density Residential	1,245.09	4.4%	1,803.55	6.37%
Medium-Density Residential	433.09	1.53%	451.40	1.60%
High-Density Residential	194.52	0.69%	300.07	1.06%
Low-Intensity commercial	23.29	0.08%	28.48	0.10%
High-Intensity commercial	49.34	0.17%	68.25	0.24%
Industrial	1,430.21	5.05%	1,009.20	3.57%
Institution	794.46	2.81%	716.57	2.53%

Land Use Description	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
Transportation	1,175.21	4.15%	1,175.21	4.15%
Water	302.03	1.07%	302.03	1.07%

Lower Occoquan is also home to a distinct land use area, Laurel Hill (formerly District of Columbia Department of Correction Facility, located in Lorton). As show in Figure 2 below, large sections of the Laurel Hill land bay lies within the Mill Branch watershed while a small sliver falls in the Occoquan watershed. The County is currently engaged with the redevelopment of this area and is in the process of identifying multiple stormwater management strategies to enhance the land use and improve overall stream conditions and water quality. Additional information on the Laurel Hill area can be found in Chapter 2 under the Giles Run North, Giles Run South and Mill Branch sections

Details of the master planning process for Laurel Hill can be found on the County website under: http://www.fairfaxcounty.gov/dpz/laurelhill/. In addition, the Laurel Hill Project Advisory Citizens Oversight Committee sponsors periodic newsletters about the ongoing process to reuse the Correction Facility. Links to the newsletters can be found on the County website listed above.



Figure 2: Laurel Hill Site

1.4 Impervious Areas

Impervious areas can be described as hard surfaces that stormwater (rain water) can not penetrate and consequently runs off into a collection system. Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation caused by the increased volume and velocity of new stormwater runoff reaching receiving waters. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface significantly reduce stream health (Annual Report, 2005). Over the decades, Lower Occoquan has experienced minimal population growth and consequently an increase in impervious surface due to new development and supporting infrastructure development.



Figure 3: Typical Lower Occoquan Impervious Areas

With the exception of Mill Branch watershed which contains the Laurel Hill redevelopment, Lower Occoquan watershed is to have very minimal new development. However, the Lower Occoquan watershed has been experiencing pockets of redevelopment. Generally these areas are already considered developed and therefore do not typically create large tracks of new impervious areas, consequently the overall future impervious surface for all of Lower Occoquan is not expected to increase by any significant amount. As permitted redevelopment occurs, updates to the County"s electronic GIS land use layers will be populated and impervious areas may reflect an increase. **Table 4** below identifies the historic and future planned imperviousness conditions throughout the Lower Occoquan watershed (excluding Laurel Hill redevelopment).

Table 4: Lower Occoquan Impervious Land Use

Year	Impervious Area (square miles)	Percent Impervious
1980	1.0	2.2%
1990	1.8	3.9%
Current	4.05	8.9%
Future	4.05	8.9%

While Lower Occoquan as a whole is primarily open space or estate residential, as highlighted above, pockets of Lower Occoquan has experienced slight increase in impervious area primarily due to the Laurel Hill redevelopment. Since the Laurel Hill redevelopment area is located primarily within the Mill Branch watershed, to highlight the differences in impervious area throughout this watershed, Mill Branch has been further divided into three smaller areas, Giles Run North, Giles Run South, and Mill Branch. Below provides a summary of the Lower Occoquan impervious areas.

Table 5: Lower Occoquan Percent Impervious

Watersheds	Percent Impervious			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition	
	(acres)	%	(acres)	%
Giles Run North (MB)	324.65	16.22	329.91	16.48
Giles Run South (MB)	271.25	11.65	309.34	13.29
Mill Branch (MB)	726.25	10.28	134.48	10.6
Sandy Run	301.7	5.8	312.25	6.01
High Point	84.79	2.38	104.14	2.93
Wolf Run	163.51	4.35	172.34	4.58
Kane Creek	57.93	1.88	70.7	2.3
Old Mill Branch	62.21	2.28	69.55	2.55
Ryans Dam	45.77	2.02	51.76	2.29
Occoquan	135.32	6.36	150.7	7.09

1.5 Existing Stormwater Controls

1.5.1 Historical Drainage Data

In 1978, the County sponsored a study to examine the baseline conditions for the Lower Occoquan watersheds. This study evaluated the surface water quality and physical stream channel conditions. It was concluded while some erosion and sedimentation was found throughout the watersheds, LO had not experienced increased peak flows due to urbanization as seen throughout other parts of the County (Parsons, 1978).

Based on information gathered in the 1978 study, the following year, the County published a proposed drainage plan for the Occoquan watersheds. The document recommended 20 drainage improvement projects for five of the eight watersheds. Fifteen of the twenty projects were identified as “raise road and replace culvert” while the remaining 5 projects focused on installing riprap bank protection (Parsons, 1979). Photo source: VDEQ *Unified Stream Methodology Photos*.

Figure 4: Typical riprap bank protection (VA DEQ)

1.5.2 Current Stormwater Controls

The watershed also contains a wide variety of additional stormwater infrastructure and best management practices which track with the watershed"s development history. In areas that experienced early development, stormwater management facilities when present, consist primarily of dry detention basins. These dry detention basins were designed to curb peak storm flows only (quantity management). In areas with more recent development, stormwater management facilities are more likely to include a water quality component, and therefore the variety of facility types found in these areas. Facilities found in these areas include wet detention facilities, underground chambers, infiltration devices, and constructed wetlands. However, as a direct result of minimal development, the table below illustrates that more than 95% of Lower Occoquan has no stormwater treatment.

Table 6: Lower Occoquan Stormwater Treatment Types

	Current Treatment Types			
	Quantity	Quality	Quantity & Quality	None
Watershed	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Mill Branch	42	19	239	5,297
Sandy Run	95	133	281	4,689
High Point	0	3	0	3,552
Wolf Run	0	106	13	3,643
Kane Creek	0	4	12	3,060
Old Mill Branch	0	19	10	2,694
Ryans Dam	0	47	0	2,214
Occoquan	20	19	27	2,061
Totals:	**157**	**350**	**582**	**27,210**

In 2005, the County released the Stream Physical Assessment (SPA) report which documented the instream conditions of more than 800 stream miles. Both habitat assessment and some infrastructure assessment (if found instream) were captured. The infrastructure assessment was included to determine the impacts on streams from

specific infrastructure and problem areas. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed; any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score.

The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions. An extreme condition would include such things as impervious encroachment near the stream severe erosion areas and large obstructions in the channel. Below summarizes the total number of infrastructure assessments points documented within each watershed. Refer to Chapter 2 for details of individual watershed inventory points.

Table 7: Summary Lower Occoquan Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Watershed	Total Inventory Assessed	Percentage of County Inventory Points
Mill Branch	98	1.03%
Sandy Run	171	1.79%
High Point	6	0.06%
Wolf Run	133	1.39%
Kane Creek	13	0.14%
Old Mill Branch	29	0.30%
Ryans Dam	10	0.10%
Occoquan	40	0.42%

The majority Lower Occoquan streams are natural open channel flow, and the stormwater runoff is routed to the streams with minimal controls. While overall the majority of the streams in Lower Occoquan experience minimal impacts, some streams are experiencing erosion due to development and increased runoff. Below is an example of stream bank erosion in Lower Occoquan.

Figure 5: Lower Occoquan Bank Erosion

The Occoquan New Millennium Task Force released a report in 2003, detailing the history and future of the Occoquan watershed. The Occoquan watershed, which

includes the Occoquan Reservoir, consists of 590 square miles and lies in Fauquier, Prince William and Fairfax County. Five of the eight Lower Occoquan watersheds fall within the Occoquan watershed: Old Mill Branch, Wolf Run, Ryans Dam, Sandy Run, and Occoquan. The report focused on both the Occoquan reservoir storage capacity and reservoir water quality. The report detailed the health of the streams and aquatic systems within the entire watershed and outlined five recommendations for protecting or restoring the streams and ecosystems within the Occoquan watershed. The recommendations, listed below, focus on structural and nonstructural means for improving water quality.

1. Maintain the integrity of the WSPOD, or down-zoning
2. Continue monitoring stream health
3. Develop and implement the watershed management plans for all Fairfax County watersheds
4. Adopt stormwater management facilities that are less degrading to stream ecosystems
5. Encourage Low Impact Development (LID) techniques that are proven effective to local conditions

1.6 Stream Conditions

In 2001, the County released the Stream Protection Strategy Baseline (SPS) Study. This study documented the current stream conditions throughout the County using physical, chemical and biological evaluations. The County collected biological and habitat data from 138 stream sites and developed a ranking of overall quality for each of site. The rankings were based on the following four components of stream/watershed condition:

- Index of Biotic Integrity (IBI) incorporating 10 separate measures of benthic macro invertebrate (insect) community integrity,
- Habitat Score: evaluation of 10 stream valley features including riparian and instream assessments,
- Fish taxa richness (number of distinct species present), and
- Overall percent impervious cover within a contributing drainage area

While numeric scores were given to each of the above individual components, a composite value was determined and a qualitative category of: Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor and Very Poor; was assigned to each of the sites. Overall Lower Occoquan had some of the best ranked stream conditions in all of Fairfax County.

Table 8: Lower Occoquan Stream Condition Ranking (SPS, 2001)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables			
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness	% Impervious Surface
Old Mill Branch (OMOM01)	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Low	3.5
Wolf Run 1 (WRWR01)	Fair	Excellent	Fair	Very Low	3.3
Wolf Run 2 (WRWR02)	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Moderate	3.9

Ryan's Dam Unnamed Trib. (RDRT01)	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Moderate	3.3
Sandy Run 1 (SASA01)	Excellent	Good	Good	High	6.1
Sandy Run 2 (SASA03)	Excellent	Good	Good	Moderate	4.4
Sandy Run Unnamed Trib. (SASA02)	Fair	Good	Fair	Very Low	1.0
Elk Horn Run (OCEH01)	Excellent	Excellent	Excellent	Low	3.6
Giles Run 1 (MBGR01)	Good	Fair	Fair	Moderate	11.4
Giles Run 2 (MBGR02)	Excellent	Fair	Good	Moderate	10.5
Mill Branch (MBMB01)	Fair	Fair	Poor	Moderate	8.0
Kane Creek (KCKC01)	Excellent	Excellent	Good	High	2.2

Following up from the 2001 SPS, the County released the SPA study which, in addition to identifying stormwater structural inventory, it documented the visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout the County. Using information based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

- Excellent (142-168): Minimally impaired habitat with a relatively high potential for supporting a diverse biological community
- Good (114-141): Slightly degraded habitat with a moderate potential for supporting a diverse biological community
- Fair (87-113): Moderately degraded habitat with a fair potential for supporting a diverse biological community
- Poor (59-86): Significantly degraded habitat with a low potential for supporting a diverse biological community
- Very poor (32-58): Severely degraded habitat with little potential for supporting a diverse biological community

Overall the County stream habitats were rated as „fair" with scores ranging from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200 with an average length-weight total habitat score of 104. The majority of the watersheds scored equal to or higher than the County average. The following table illustrates each of the eight watersheds scores. Refer to Chapter 2 for detailed ranking information for each watershed:

Table 9: Lower Occoquan Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Watershed	Total Habitat Score	Total Habitat Category
Mill Branch	106	Fair
Sandy Run	104	Fair
High Point	124	Good
Wolf Run	99	Fair
Kane Creek	128	Good
Old Mill Branch	99	Fair
Ryans Dam	145	Excellent

Occoquan	117	Good
Fairfax County (portion in watershed)	*104*	*Fair*

1.7 Stream Water Quality

In addition to collecting and analyzing biological data, the 2001 SPS classified each subwatershed into management categories which outline key strategies and goals for future stream restoration and protection. Three management categories were established based on overall stream rankings and projected development within the watersheds. These categories were developed as management planning tools. Table 10 below identifies the management categories and the associated goals.

Table 10: Management Category (SPS, 2001)

Management Category	Goal
Watershed Protection Areas	Preserve the quality rating of the streams
Watershed Restoration Level I (WRL I)	Take measures to re-establish a healthy biological community
Watershed Restoration Level II (WRL II)	Maintain areas to prevent further degradation, improve water quality to comply with Chesapeake Bay initiatives & TMDL regulations

While Lower Occoquan watershed contains a range of biological and habitat conditions from high to low, the majority of Lower Occoquan lies within the Watershed Protection Areas, with small portions of Wolf Run, Sand Run and Mill Branch falling within Watershed Restoration Level I (WRL I). The Lower Occoquan watershed is one of the least developed watersheds in the County. As a result of minimal development, large parks and open space, the overall stream habitat condition of the watershed, with a few exceptions, is considered good to excellent and contains some of the highest quality streams in Fairfax County. Protection of the existing higher-quality aquatic resources in these watersheds is the primary management approach recommended from the SPS study.

Fairfax County stream conditions are assessed through bacteria, physical, chemical and biological sampling at multiple monitoring stations through the County‟s stream monitoring program. These monitoring stations are randomly selected each year throughout the county to capture water quality and biological health data for various drainage areas and stream sizes. In 2006, the County had two monitoring stations located within Lower Occoquan, one in Sandy Run watershed and the second in the Occoquan watershed. See Table 11 below for monitoring results (Annual Report, 2006).

Table 11: Lower Occoquan Monitoring Results*

				Benthic		Fish		Bacteria
WMA	Site ID	Stream Order	Drainage Area (mi)	IBI	Rating	IBI	Rating	Sample Exceeding
Occoquan	OC0501	1	0.11	92	Excellent	N/A		2 of 4
Sandy Run	SA0501	1	0.17	47	Fair	N/A		1 of 4

(Annual Report, 2006 * monitoring results for 2005 sample year)

In 2007, the County identified 62 perennially flowing streams sites to determine stream conditions at a countywide scale. These sites were selected to capture a cross section of

the various streams throughout the county. It allowed the county to obtain statistically defensible determination of stream conditions at a countywide scale. Of the 62 sites sampled in 2007: 40 sites randomly selected within Fairfax County as part of the annual probabilistic monitoring program; 10 trend-monitoring sites in the County; 10 piedmont reference locations in Prince William National Forest Park; and two coastal plain reference sites in the Kane Creek watershed of Fairfax County. The results of the sampling suggest that approximately 67 percent of the county"s waterways are in "Fair" to "Very Poor" condition based on a decrease in biological diversity. (Annual Report on the Environment, 2007)

1.7.1 Tributaries

The Lower Occoquan watershed contains more than 220 miles of stream within the eight watersheds. Included in the eight watersheds are 15 separate named tributaries. A tributary is considered a stream or a river that flows into a mainstem or a larger river. In addition to the 15 separate tributaries, the Occoquan River is considered a tributary (to the Potomac River) and is located along seven of the eight watersheds. Lower Occoquan is unique in that it consists of watersheds which comprise of individual streams or rivers draining directly to the Occoquan River (i.e. Occoquan) and watershed which comprise of tributaries which feed into a mainstem then discharge into the Occoquan River (i.e. Wolf Run).

Seven of the eight watersheds drain entirely into the Occoquan River, High Point, the exception; drains into the Potomac River. Information relating to the hydraulic and hydrological modeling results of the streams can be found in Section 2.4.

1.7.2 Resource Protection Area /Perennial Streams

As one of many measures used to protect stream water quality, the County adopted the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance, which imposes restrictions on development for any land that lies within a Resource Protection Area (RPA). Resource protection areas are buffers which protect sensitive areas adjacent to or near the shorelines of streams, rivers and other waterways from the excessive influx of pollutants. The sensitive areas include tidal and non-tidal wetlands, tidal shorelines, floodplains and perennial streams (waters flowing year round). **Map 1.4** indicates more than half of the streams within the Lower Occoquan watershed lie within a RPA. (County GIS, 2008)

While Lower Occoquan has more than 220 miles of streams, only about half are considered perennial streams. A perennial stream can be defined as a stream which has continuous flow in its channel year round. The remaining streams are either intermittent streams which flow during normal rainfall and can continue to flow for a few weeks or months or ephemeral streams which typically only flow for only a few hours during and after a rain event. Many of the streams in the Lower Occoquan watershed are protected under the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act. Under the Act, RPAs were established to protect specific perennial streams from degradation. Table 12 below illustrates the break out of stream miles per watershed management area of RPAs. Since the County adoption of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance in 1993, throughout the years, additional RPA areas have been identified and added to the County inventory and are reflected as a total in the table below.

Table 12: Lower Occoquan RPA streams*

Watershed	Total Stream (miles)	RPA Stream Length total (miles)
Giles Run North (Mill Branch)	17.39	9.90
Giles Run South (Mill Branch)	8.75	5.57
Mill Branch (Mill Branch)	4.35	2.47
Sandy Run	58.01	35.71
High Point	8.53	3.35
Wolf Run	36.18	22.74
Kane Creek	11.67	8.81
Old Mill Branch	31.62	16.41
Ryans Dam	49.71	13.97
Occoquan	13.70	9.17
Watershed Total	239.91	128.10

(*Based on Co. GIS data set)

1.7.3 Impaired Waters

In 1972, the Clean Water Act was established to provide a regulatory framework to protect the waters of the U.S. Under the Clean Water Act, water quality standards were developed to protect the public health and enhance the quality of surface waters. To meet these standards, *designated uses* have been developed to define the water quality needed to support each usage. In Virginia, "all State waters, including wetlands, are designated for the following uses: recreational uses, e.g., swimming and boating; the propagation and growth of a balanced, indigenous population of aquatic life, including game fish, which might reasonably be expected to inhabit them; wildlife; and the production of edible and marketable natural resources, e.g., fish and shellfish" (9 VAC 25-260 Virginia Water Quality Standards, 2007).

To meet these standards, the county and other agencies regularly monitor water quality at various locations throughout the county. Utilizing physical, bacteria, chemical and biological sampling at multiple monitoring stations, overall stream conditions are analyzed. These monitoring stations are located throughout the entire watershed to capture water quality data for various drainage areas and stream sizes. In 2006, the Commonwealth of VA (DEQ) identified 101 total impairments throughout the county. Of the 101 total impairments only 18 fall within the Lower Occoquan watersheds (Annual Report on Fairfax County Streams, 2006).

The majority of the Lower Occoquan watershed resides in the down-zoned area and therefore has experienced some of the best water quality in the County. However, while many streams are considered "fair", three watersheds experience high levels recreational contact use impairments. 1.7 miles of Mills Branch streams experience higher then normal levels of Fecal Coliform and 2.3 miles of Wolf Run and 0.1 mile of Occoquan register higher then normal levels of E. coli.

Portions along Occoquan Bay, Belmont Bay, and Occoquan River make up the remaining impairments. These three estuarine impairments traverse the entire length of the LO watershed. These three waterbodies experience higher levels of aquatic life use

(plants, pH), and fish consumption use (PCB in fish tissue) impairments. See **Map 1.5** and Table 13 below for a complete listing of impairments in Lower Occoquan.

Table 13: Lower Occoquan Impaired Waters

	Aquatic Life			Fish Consumption		Recreation		
Segment ID	Submerged Aquatic Plants	DO	pH	PCB in Fish Tissue	E. coli	Fecal Coliform	Total	
Occoquan Bay	OCC01A04	0.5			0.5			0.5 mi^2
Occoquan Bay	OCC02A00	0.6		0.6				0.6 mi^2
Occoquan Bay/Belmont Bay	OCC20A02	5.4			5.4		5.4	5.4 mi^2
Occoquan Bay/Belmont Bay	POT20A04	0.2			0.2		0.2	0.2 mi^2
Occoquan River	OCC05A02	0.1			0.1		0.1	0.1 mi^2
Occoquan Reservoir	OCC01A02		1327.5					1327.5 ac
Mill Branch	WLB01A02						1.7	1.7 mi
Wolf Run	WOL01A06					2.3		2.3 mi

(Annual Report, 2006)

Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act requires states to develop a list of impaired waters, commonly referred to as the "303(d) list." If a water body fails to meet the numeric or narrative criteria in a water quality standard or does not achieve its designated use, then a water body is considered impaired. Every two years, states are required to submit a list of impaired waters to EPA for approval.

In 2006, Virginia"s Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) developed an Impaired Waters list which was released to the public in draft form for a 30-day comment period. After receiving and reviewing comments, the list was revised and resubmitted to EPA. The following streams within Lower Occoquan watershed are considered Category 5 waters, or waters requiring a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Study. A TMDL is designed to identify the amount of pollution a specific stream can receive and still meet its designated use. See Table 14 below for Category 5 waters. Information is currently being compiled capturing data from the past two years (through 2008) and should be released for public review in early 2009.

Table 14: Lower Occoquan TMDL (2006 VDEQ Virginia 305(b)/303(d) list)

TMDL Group ID	Use	Impairment	Size	TMDL Development Date
Occoquan Reservoir 00282	Aquatic Life	Total Size Oxygen, Dissolved	1,328.00 reservoir acres	2010
Potomac River, Tidal (Pohick Creek) 20006	Fish Consumption	Total Size PCB in Fish Tissue	3.20 river miles	2014

1.8 Stream Geomorphology

Over time, stream morphology naturally evolves and changes. These natural dynamics can be drastically affected by human land use changes. To identify and track these physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

Figure 6 provides a visual representation of the steam evolution. A Stage I stream/channel is characterized as the most stable system in the group with a well developed flow and strong vegetation coverage – this is a stream in which the watershed has never been disturbed from its naturally-formed character. As flow rates increase (from land use changes), down-cutting occurs in the channel bottom creating a Stage II channel – which is typified by a very narrow, deeply incised channel.



Figure 6: CEM

Heavy erosion begins to widen the channel bottom until stream bank failure occurs. This is a Stage III channel, which is the most unstable and typically generates the most issues. As stream bank erosion begins to decrease and the channel begins to re-stabilize according to the new flow regime, the channel is classified as a Stage IV. Finally at Stage V, the channel returns to a stable system with two floodplain terraces. Once a stream has reached this "dynamic equilibrium" it will remain in this stage until the watershed characteristics are once again changed (i.e.: increase in storm flows due to increased runoff from greater impervious area creation). This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime.

Using the CEM, the majority of Lower Occoquan streams are classified as Stage III. Stage III is generally characterized as unstable, showing erosion signs of widening and deepening (in response to altered hydrologic characteristics of the watershed – usually a result of changing land uses). Two of the eight watersheds stream channels are classified as Stage II, indicating incising head cuts (vertical erosion) that produces harmful amounts of instream sediments and could ultimately lead into Stage III. See table below for general CEM classification.

Table 15: Lower Occoquan CEM Results (SPA, 2005)

Watershed	Channel Evolution Model
Mill Branch	II/III*
Sandy Run	III/IV
High Point	III
Wolf Run	III
Kane Creek	II
Old Mill Branch	III/IV
Ryans Dam	II/III
Occoquan	III

*1st value represents the majority of the streams within the watershed

1.9 Concerns Identified by the Public

In the late 1970"s the County began documenting and logging publicly reported drainage related complaints. Today, the County is still documenting stormwater management complaints via an electronic Microsoft Access database. This database allows the County to identify areas that may require additional attention and assist in prioritizing capital improvement projects. The complaints database can also assist the County identify target areas for public outreach projects.

Over the years, the County has logged 303 complaints within the Lower Occoquan watershed. Old Mill Branch received the fewest complaints (five) while Mill Branch watershed received the most with 131 complaints. The complaints range from yard / house flooding to cave-ins / sinkholes. Within the Mill Branch watershed, blockages, standing water and various types of flooding issues were the most common type of complaint reported.


NICHOL RUN
POND BRANCH
SUGARLAND RUN
TOWN OF HERNDON
BULL NECK RUN
TURKEY RUN
DEAD RUN
HORSEPEN CREEK
267
WASHINGTON DULLES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT
SCOTTS RUN
DIFFICULT RUN
PIMMIT RUN
TOWN OF VIENNA
7
CITY OF FALLS CHURCH
28
66
495
CUB RUN
50
FOUR MILE RUN
CITY OF FAIRFAX
ACCOTINK CREEK
BULL RUN
29
LITTLE ROCKY RUN
236
CAMERON RUN
395
CITY OF ALEXANDRIA
POPES HEAD CREEK
JOHNNY MOORE CREEK
95
BELLE HAVEN
POHICK CREEK
OLD MILL BRANCH
WOLF RUN
LITTLE HUNTING CREEK
SANDY RUN
DOGUE CREEK
RYANS DAM
FORT BELVOIR
1
OCCOQUAN
MILL BRANCH
KANE CREEK
HIGH POINT
DRAFT
0
8,300
16,600
24,900
Feet
Watersheds
Water
Major Roads
Interstate
State Highway
US Highway
Political Areas
Incorporated Areas
Fairfax County
Map 1.1
Fairfax County
Watersheds

Old Mill Branch

Wolf Run

Ryans Dam

Sandy Run

Occoquan

Mill Branch

Kane Creek

High Point

BELMONT BAY

OCCOQUAN BAY

GUNSTON COVE

POTOMAC RIVER



0 3,100 6,200 9,300 Feet

Legend

WMA Boundary

Lakes/Ponds

Map 1.2
Lower Occoquan Watershed

Location of Fairfax County Watersheds

N

Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan
Watershed

Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan
Watershed

BELMONT BAY
GUNSTON COVE
OCCOQUAN BAY
POTOMAC RIVER

0 11,000 22,000 33,000 Feet

Legend

- WMA Boundary
- Agricultural
- Open Space
- Forested
- Golf Course
- Estate Residential
- Low Density Residential
- Medium Density Residential
- High Density Residential
- Transportation
- Institutional
- Low Intensity Commericial
- High Intensity Commercial
- Industrial
- Water

Map 1.3
Lower Occoquan Watershed
Existing and Future Land Use
Appendix A: Watershed Workbook



BELMONT BAY

GUNSTON COVE

OCCOQUAN BAY

POTOMAC RIVER

3,500 7,000 10,500 Feet

Resource Protection Areas

RPA Limits

Watersheds

Water

Map1.4
Lower Occoquan Watershed
Resource Protection Areas

Legend

Impaired Waters

Map 1.5
Impaired Waters Map
Lower Occoquan Watershed

2.0 Watershed Management Area Characterization

2.1 Introduction

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) considers a watershed as "the area in which all water, sediments, and dissolved materials flow or drain from the land into a common river, lake, ocean, or other body of water (EPA, http://www.epa.gov/owow/watershed/what.html). Watersheds are also known as drainage basins and can be defined by the topography of the land. The Chesapeake Bay watershed which spans more than 64,000 square miles and falls within Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, New York, Pennsylvania, and the entire District of Columbia and is one of the largest watersheds in the country. Each State has a unique approach to managing their smaller watersheds within the Chesapeake Bay. The Lower Occoquan watershed is located in the Chesapeake Bay watershed and comprises 8 of the 30 watersheds within Fairfax County.

For planning and management purposes, the County has defined drainage units called **watershed management areas** (WMAs), which are typically a few square miles of land area. For most of the small watersheds in Lower Occoquan, the entire watersheds, themselves are defined as WMAs. The larger Mill branch watershed has been divided into 3 individual WMAs. **Table 16** below identifies the 10 WMAs identified within Lower Occoquan. Refer to **Map 2.1-1** for the locations of each WMA within Lower Occoquan. For County planning and management purposes, WMAs are further subdivided into smaller **subwatersheds,** typically 100-300 acres. Refer to **Map 2.1-2** for the locations of each of the subwatersheds within Lower Occoquan. These areas can be used to identify specific projects or opportunities to enhance the overall stream conditions, as well as serving as the basic units for watershed modeling and other evaluations.

Table 16: Lower Occoquan: Watershed Management Areas

	WMA:	Area (sq. miles)
1	Giles Run North (Mill Branch)	3.13
2	Giles Run South (Mill Branch)	3.63
3	Mill Branch (Mill Branch)	1.98
4	Sandy Run	8.12
5	Wolf Run	5.88
6	High Point	5.55
7	Kane Creek	4.81
8	Old Mill Branch	4.26
9	Ryans Dam	3.53
10	Occoquan	3.32

2.2 Current Conditions

Field reconnaissance was conducted to update/supplement existing Fairfax County geographic data so current field conditions would be accurately represented. Once this data was acquired, spatial analysis was performed to characterize county watersheds as they currently exist using the county‟s geographic information system (GIS). The reconnaissance effort included the identification of pollution sources, current stormwater management and potential restoration opportunities across the various watersheds.

Field maps, photos and data forms were used to capture current watershed conditions. Below provides an example of one of the field maps used to identify unique issues within the WMA.



Figure 7: Sample of Field Reconnaissance Map

A description of the findings for each WMA is listed in the following sections including

1. General WMA Characteristics
2. Field Reconnaissance findings
3. Impervious Areas / Treatment Type
4. Stormwater Infrastructure
5. Stream Conditions.

Each WMA was examined at the subwatershed level in order to capture as much data as possible.

2.2.1 Giles Run North (Mill Branch)

General WMA Characteristics

The Giles Run North WMA is located in the eastern reaches of the collection of the Lower Occoquan watersheds and is a portion of the Mill Branch watershed. Giles Run North consists of 11 subwatersheds. The Giles Run North WMA is roughly bounded by Silverbrook Road to the north and northeast. The western border is roughly formed by Ox Road (Route 123) and the southern border of the WMA essentially follows Furnace Road east to Lorton Road. Giles Run North WMA lies entirely within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, characterized by relatively gentle topography.

Field Reconnaissance

The Giles Run North WMA is comprised primarily of single family detached residential properties in a number of established subdivisions, including Giles Runs, Crosspointe, Lorfax Heights, Silverbrook Estates, Spring Hill and Gunston Corner.

The majority of the observed single family detached dwellings were constructed on estimated 1/8 to 1/2 acre lots. The age of development in this WMA ranges from an estimated 30 to 35 years old (1970s) in the far western portions to newer single family, townhouse, and multi-family housing units in the southern and eastern portions of the WMA (2000s). In addition, a portion of the northeastern end of this WMA has been redeveloped as part of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project, including significant construction of residential structures and associated commercial and institutional development. In addition to the single family development, the Giles Run North WMA also contains a significant amount of single family attached (i.e. townhouses) development, especially in the Gunston Corner area, at the southeastern end of the WMA. These developments are characterized by their density, as well as street construction patterns that feature cul-de-sacs and dead end drives (i.e. limited through street access).

Among the observed infill/redevelopment evidence observed, the Giles Run North WMA lies within a portion of the Laurel Hill project in southern Fairfax County. Land cover consists primarily of impervious surface associated with residential development (i.e. rooftops, streets and driveways, sidewalks, etc.) and associated landscaping, including managed turf. Impervious estimates in areas of multi-family residential development in the Gunston Corner area exceed 70 percent.

Among the non-residential land uses observed, Giles Run North contains limited, low intensity commercial development, primarily associated with industries/activities supporting residential development. The largest commercial complex observed was the Shoppes of Lorton Valley, off Route 123 in the southern end of the WMA. Several significant institutional facilities were observed in the Giles Run North WMA, including Silverbrook Elementary School, William Halley Elementary School, and the South County Secondary School. Other school sites are located near this WMA as well. East of Hooes Road, the current and proposed Laurel Hill Park facilities, including the existing Laurel Hill Golf Course, occupies significant acreage in the south central portion of the WMA, which provides for significant open space retention but also additional managed

turf cover. One house of worship was observed in the WMA, Christ United Methodist Church, located off Silverbrook Road.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality*:-Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 17 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Giles Run North as well as the associated treatment types. Since Giles Run North (MB) is fairly developed in certain areas, the WMA has relatively high levels of imperviousness when compared to the Lower Occoquan watershed as a whole. However, the overall the impervious surface area is only expected to increase less than 0.25% in the future. As Table 17 illustrates, the majority of stormwater in Giles Run North WMA is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 17: Giles Run North Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types				
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality		Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	%	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Giles Run North (MB)	324.65	*16.22*	329.91	*16.48*	40.26	12.27	171.54	171.54	1777.97

Existing Land Use

See **Map 2.2.1-1** for existing and future land use for Giles Run North (MB). Giles Run North WMA consists of 2,002 acres, of which nearly half is open space, forest, parks, and/or recreational land use areas, much of this is due to the existing zoning regulations require minimum lot sizes of one acre for many areas of the WMA.

Table 18: Giles Run North Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Uses Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open Space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	922.89	46.10%	870.59	43.48%
Golf Course	0	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Estate Residential	85.83	4.29%	37.89	1.89%
Low-Density Residential	170.54	8.52%	261.56	13.06%
Medium-Density Residential	291.92	14.58%	291.26	14.55%
High-Density Residential	114.58	5.72%	194.92	9.74%
Low-Intensity commercial	0	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Intensity commercial	23.19	1.16%	28.44	1.42%
Industrial	0	0.00%	2.43	0.19%
Transportation	199.61	9.97%	199.61	9.97%
Water	30.68	1.53%	30.68	1.53%
Institution	162.82	8.13%	84.66	4.23%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Giles Run North WMA consists primarily of developed residential single family attached and detached properties, multi-family residential development, and institutional uses, including parklands and school properties. As a result, the watershed‟s stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of curb and gutter collection through a piped stormwater network discharging through both a variety of best management practices (BMPs) as well as directly to Giles Run and its tributaries.

The Giles Run North WMA contains a variety of additional stormwater infrastructure and BMPs which track with the watershed‟s development history. For example, in areas that developed earlier, stormwater management facilities, where present, consist primarily of dry detention basins designed to curb peak storm flows (quantity management). For areas that developed more recently, stormwater management facilities are more likely to include a water quality component, and the variety of facility types increases. Facilities found in these areas include underground chambers.

Map 2.2.1-2 demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Giles Run North WMA. The Giles Run North WMA contains approximately 14 dry detention and extended dry detention facilities designed to manage stormwater quantity and quality. In addition, the WMA contains three wet detention facilities, also designed for

water quality and quantity management, as well as one underground chamber, which provides quantity management. It should be noted that as part of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project, a number of additional stormwater management facilities appear planned for construction. Given the current County requirements for stormwater management, these facilities are likely to be designed to manage both the volume (quantity) of stormwater runoff as well as the quality of that runoff.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.1-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the WMA along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

While Giles Run North, Giles Run South, and Mill Branch WMAs data was not captured separately within the Mill Branch watershed, a total of 98 inventory points were visually assessed with only two scoring a 10 or higher. The highest scoring impacts in the Mill Branch watershed included a utility line scoring a 20 (very extreme) and a head cut scoring a 10. Table 19 summarizes all 98 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for the Mill Branch watershed.

Table 19: Overall Mill Branch watershed Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	0	9	4	13	7	3	0	1	0	0	N/A	37
Crossings	34	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	36
Ditches and Pipes	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	15
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	1
Head Cut	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	4
Obstruction	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	3
Utility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Total	**49**	**2**	**12**	**5**	**15**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**98**

In the Giles Run North WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include disturbed stream buffers and stream channel widening. Channel widening, coincident with poor overall stream habitat, is the primary feature for the main stem of Giles Run through the WMA. Channel incision is noted for tributaries running through the Laurel Hill Park area to the south and east. Pipe discharge into the WMAs streams have a

demonstrated impact as well, as these pipes discharge stormwater runoff directly into the streams in areas that were developed prior to current stormwater management requirements for post-construction controls. These discharges contribute to the noted, upstream widening and erosive conditions. In addition, several one to two foot head cuts were noted on downstream tributaries in the WMA. Road crossing impacts in the Giles Run North WMA are generally minor. Crossing and head cut impacts tend to follow tributary junctions in the WMA, occurring at confluence points in the watershed. Finally, obstructions and utility impacts are noted as minor to moderate in the upstream tributaries running through Laurel Hill Park east to the Gunston Cove area.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, the Mill Branch watershed was categorized as fair with a length-weighted habitat score of 106, which is slightly better than the Fairfax County average. As Table 20 shows, of the estimated 4.8 miles of stream assessed in Giles Run North, more than 50 percent were categorized as poor, the largest percent of any watershed in the Lower Occoquan in that category.

Table 20: Giles Run North Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Giles Run	1,065	2.20%	25,567	52.92%	9,245	19.14%	3,352	6.94%	9,087	18.81%	48,316

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001 the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 streams sites, one was located in Giles Run North WMA. Table 21 below summarizes the results. Overall, Giles Run North WMA is one of the highest quality Coastal Plain basins in the County, with the fish community rating and biological integrity rated as moderate and fair, respectively.

Table 21: Giles Run North Biological Integrity Rating (SPS, 2001)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Giles Run 1 (MBGR01)	Good	Fair	Fair	Moderate

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. The majority of the streams surveyed within Giles Run North were classified as CEM Stage III- Widening as shown on Map 2.2.1-3. The remaining streams fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage II, indicating head cuts that could ultimately lead into Stage III.

2.2.2 Giles Run South (Mill Branch)

General WMA Characteristics

The Giles Run South WMA is located in the eastern reaches of the collection of Lower Occoquan watersheds and is a portion of the Mill Branch watershed. Giles Run South consists of 14 subwatersheds. The Giles Run South WMA is roughly bounded by Lorton Road (Route 642) to the extreme north. The western border is roughly formed by a portion of Interstate 95 in the southern end and Furnace Road (Route 611) on the central and northern end. The eastern boundary of the WMA is formed by Gunston Road (Route 242) and Belmont Boulevard (Route 601) to the southern end of the WMA. The WMA discharges to the Occoquan River to the south, and is bisected by both Interstate 95 and the Richmond Highway (U.S. Route 1). Old Colchester Road also bisects the WMA south and east of U.S. Route 1. Giles Run South lies entirely within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, characterized by relatively gentle topography.

Field Reconnaissance

The Giles Run South WMA contains a wide variety of land uses and development, from single family residential to industrial park land. Residential developments in the WMA include portions of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project in the extreme north, the more established Colchester neighborhood to the south near the Occoquan River, and other, newer single family developments to the south and east including the western end of Gunston Heights to the east and Harbor View, which abuts Massey Creek.

The majority of the observed single family detached dwellings were constructed on lots estimated to be less than ¼ to one acre in size. The age of development in this WMA ranges from an estimated 30 to 35 years old (1970s) in the established neighborhoods such as Colchester, to newer single family detached housing units in the Harbor View, Laurel Hill, and Gunston Heights areas of the WMA (2000s). In addition to the single family development, the Giles Run South WMA also contains a significant amount of non-residential development, including the industrial properties Gunston Commerce Center and the Lorton Valley Industrial Park. These developments are characterized by their land use intensity and density, as well as street construction patterns that feature cul-de-sacs and dead end drives (i.e. limited through street access). In addition, the industrial sites offer large building footprints with large impervious areas for roadways and parking.

Among the observed infill/redevelopment evidence observed, the Giles Run South WMA lies within a portion of the Laurel Hill project in southern Fairfax County. Land cover consists primarily of impervious surface associated with the various forms of development (i.e. large rooftops, streets and driveways, sidewalks, parking lots, etc.) and associated landscaping, including managed turf. Impervious estimates in the WMA vary significantly based on the land use observed. In areas of residential development, approximately 10 to 15 percent impervious cover exists, whereas estimates for non-residential areas in the WMA, including industrial lands, may be as high as 70 percent in some cases.

Among the additional non-residential land uses observed, Giles Run South contains limited, low intensity commercial development, primarily associated with

industries/activities supporting residential development. The largest commercial complex observed was the Lorton Station Marketplace, off Gunston Road. The Giles Run South WMA also includes the Mason Neck West Area Park

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 22 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Giles Run South WMA as well as the associated treatment types. Since Giles Run South is fairly developed in areas and has a large industrial land use, the WMA has relatively high levels of impervious area when comparing against Lower Occoquan as a whole. While Giles Run South is currently slightly more than 10 percent impervious, future imperviousness is only expected to increase by less than 3 percent. As Table 22 shows, the majority of stormwater in Giles Run South WMA is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA and the overall age of development.

Table 22: Giles Run South Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Giles Run South (MB)	271.25	*11.65*	309.34	*13.29*	1.25	7.15	40.20	2,278.92

Existing Land Use

See **Map 2.2.1-1** for existing and future land use for Giles Run South WMA. This land use map includes the updated land use GIS layers developed for the Laurel Hill redevelopment area. Giles Run South WMA consists of 2,328 acres, of which the dominate land use type is open space, forest, parks, and/or recreational land use areas. Giles Run South WMA second highest land use is industrial which is expected with the variety of industrial facilities located in Giles Run South.

Table 23: Giles Run South Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	916.99	39.40%	854.18	36.70%
Golf Course	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Estate Residential	125.85	5.41%	284.05	12.20%
Low-Density Residential	147.91	6.35%	235.54	10.12%
Medium-Density Residential	49.38	2.12%	69.27	2.98%
High-Density Residential	76.53	3.29%	101.65	4.37%
Low-Intensity commercial	20.89	0.90%	19.95	0.86%
High-Intensity commercial	21.72	0.93%	33.15	1.42%
Industrial	608.60	26.15%	369.82	15.89%
Transportation	326.94	14.05%	326.94	14.05%
Water	15.88	0.68%	15.88	0.68%
Institution	16.85	0.72%	17.10	0.73%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Giles Run South WMA consists of a variety of land development patterns, including developed residential single family attached and detached properties, multi-family residential development, industrial development, commercial development, and institutional uses, including parklands. As a result, the watershed"s stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of curb and gutter collection through a piped stormwater network discharging through a variety of BMPs as well as directly into Giles Run and its tributaries.

The Giles Run South WMA contains a variety of additional stormwater infrastructure and BMPs which track with the watershed"s development history. For example, in areas that developed earlier, stormwater management facilities, where present, consist primarily of dry detention basins designed to curb peak storm flows (quantity management). For areas that developed more recently, stormwater management facilities are more likely to include a water quality component, and the variety of facility types increases. Facilities found in these areas include underground chambers, parking lot detention, rooftop detention, and manufactured BMP systems.

Map 2.2.2-2 demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Giles Run South WMA. The Giles Run South WMA contains approximately five dry detention and extended dry detention facilities designed to manage stormwater quantity and quality. In addition, the WMA contains one manufactured BMP for water quality management and one parking lot detention device and one underground chamber, which both provide quantity management. In addition, the WMA contains seventeen rooftop detention systems, located primarily on industrial facility buildings, for quantity management. It should be noted that as part of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project, a number of additional stormwater management facilities appear planned for construction. Given the current Fairfax County requirements for stormwater management, these facilities are likely to be designed to manage both the volume (quantity) of stormwater runoff as well as the quality of that runoff.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.2-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional, windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the WMA along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

While Giles Run North, Giles Run South, and Mill Branch WMA data was not captured separately, within the Mill Branch watershed, a total of 98 inventory points were visually assessed with only two scoring a 10 or higher. The highest scoring impacts in the Mill Branch watershed included a utility line scoring a 20 (very extreme) and a head cut scoring a 10. Table 24 summarizes all 98 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for the Mill Branch watershed.

Table 24: Overall Mill Branch watershed Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	0	9	4	13	7	3	0	1	0	0	N/A	37
Crossings	34	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	36
Ditches and Pipes	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	15
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	1
Head Cut	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	4
Obstruction	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	3
Utility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Total	**49**	**2**	**12**	**5**	**15**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**98**

In the Giles Run South WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include disturbed stream buffers and stream channel widening. Buffer disturbance appears coincident with roadways, including residential streets as well as major road arteries in the WMA. Channel widening, coincident with poor overall stream habitat, is the primary feature for the main stem of Giles Run through the WMA. Channel incision is noted for a tributary of South Branch near Gunston Heights. An extreme road crossing impact has been noted where Giles Run runs under Interstate 95, while the crossing at Route 1 downstream has been classified as minor to moderate. Upstream of the I-95 crossing, an extreme impact from a utility line has also been noted. Finally, minor obstructions and dump site impacts utility impacts are noted as minor to moderate throughout the WMA.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, the Mill Branch watershed was categorized as fair with a length-weighted habitat score of 106, which is slightly better than the Fairfax County average. As Table 25 shows, more than one mile of stream assessed in Giles Run South, more than 50 percent were categorized as either excellent or good.

Table 25: Giles Run South Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
South Branch	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6,403	100.00%	0	0.00%	6,403
Trib. to Occouan River	0	0.00%	4,951	31.76%	2,655	17.03%	3,132	20.09%	4,850	31.11%	15,588

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 streams sites, one was located in Giles Run North WMA. The table below summarizes the results. Overall, Giles Run

South WMA is one of the highest quality Coastal Plain basins in the County, with the fish community rating and biological integrity rated as moderate and fair, respectively.

Table 26: Giles Run South Biological Integrity Rating (SPS, 2001)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Giles Run 2 (MBGR02)	Excellent	Fair	Good	Moderate

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. Within the Giles Run South WMA, a majority of the streams surveyed are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage III, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening. The remaining streams fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage II, indicating head cuts that could ultimately lead into Stage III.

2.2.3 Mill Branch (Mill Branch)

General WMA Characteristics

The Mill Branch WMA is located in the eastern reaches of the collection of the Lower Occoquan watersheds and is a portion of the Mill Branch watershed. Mill Branch WMA consists of nine subwatersheds. The Mill Branch WMA is roughly bounded by Furnace Road (Route 611) to the north and east. The western border is roughly formed by a portion of Ox Road (Route 123). The southern border is formed by Interstate 95 to the southeast and the Occoquan River to the southwest. Mill Branch lies entirely within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, characterized by relatively gentle topography. The Mill Branch WMA contains the Mill Branch tributary stream which flows southeast and drains a region containing an inactive landfill, a sewage treatment plant, and portions (approximately 3.5 square miles) of Laurel Hill. Mill Branch tributary discharges into the Occoquan River ultimately into the Potomac River.

Field Reconnaissance

The Mill Branch WMA contains a wide variety of land uses and development, from single family residential to industrial park land. Of note, the Laurel Hill redevelopment project in southern Fairfax County almost fully covers the Mill Branch WMA, and as such, land uses and development in this WMA are in the planning stages. Residential developments associated with the Laurel Hill redevelopment project include the areas of Cavanaugh‟s Crossing and Hollymeade in the northwest corner of the WMA. A significant portion of the old Lorton Correctional Facility resides in the WMA, and the property associated with the prison forms the centerpiece of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project. Additional portions of the prison grounds are slated for redevelopment as mixed use residential, commercial, and light industrial uses. Planned construction, or construction already underway, includes three schools, the Spring Hill redevelopment area, the Occoquan Workhouse Adaptive Re-use Area, and the I-95 Resource Recovery area and landfill.

The majority of the observed single family detached dwellings were constructed on lots estimated between ¼ and ½ acre in size. Development within this WMA ranges from an estimated 5 to 10 years old (late 1990s to early 2000s) to present day and includes Cavanaugh‟s Crossing and Hollymeade. In areas of residential development, to date, approximately 20 to 25 percent impervious cover exists, which was based on home sizes, ancillary impervious features, and lot sizes.

Along with the planned redevelopment sites in this WMA, the Occoquan Regional Park, in the southern end of the WMA near the Occoquan River, provides an additional, recreational land use.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious

surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality*:-Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 27 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Mill Branch WMA as well as the associated treatment types. Since Mill Branch WMA is fairly developed in some areas, and a large percentage of industrial land use, the watershed has a high impervious percentage when compared to the Lower Occoquan watershed yet relatively low levels of imperviousness when compared to the County as a whole. The County has incorporated much of the change in land use due to the Laurel Hill redevelopment into the County GIS Database. While historically Mill Branch WMA experienced lower impervious area, with the ongoing development which has occurred in recent years, and the ultimate development of the area, this area is only expected to see a 0.30% increase in impervious area in the future. As Table 27 shows, the majority of stormwater in Mill Branch WMA is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, however, as the Laurel Hill redevelopment process continues, this areas of treated stormwater will increase.

Table 27: Mill Branch Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Mill Branch (MB)	130.35	*10.28*	134.48	*10.60*	0	0	27.59	1240.66

Existing Land Use

Since Mill Branch WMA is under long term redevelopment, currently more than 70% of the land use is dominated by industrial or institutional use. Since the majority of the old Lorton Correction facility fell within the Mill Branch WMA, and the County is in the process of redeveloping the area, the land use within this WMA is fairly unique and will experience higher development than other WMAs within Lower Occoquan.

Table 28: Mill Branch Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

Land Use Description	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	236.55	18.65%	204.17	16.10%
Golf Course	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Estate Residential	28.08	2.21%	28.08	2.21%
Low-Density Residential	37.03	2.92%	62.06	4.89%
Medium-Density Residential	13.16	1.04%	13.16	1.04%
High-Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.09	0.005%
Low-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Industrial	432.47	34.10%	439.72	34.67%
Transportation	48.19	3.80%	48.19	3.80%
Water	10.83	0.85%	10.83	0.85%
Institution	461.94	36.42%	461.94	36.42%

Stormwater Infrastructure

For areas that are now developing and redeveloping, stormwater management facilities are more likely to include a water quantity and quality component, and the variety of facility types in use in this WMA is likely to increase as the redevelopment projects continues.

Map 2.2.3-2 demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Mill Branch WMA. It should be noted that as part of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project, a number of additional stormwater management facilities appear planned for construction. Given the current County requirements for stormwater management, these facilities are likely to be designed to manage both the volume (quantity) of stormwater runoff as well as the quality of that runoff. Facilities found in these areas may include extended detention dry ponds, wet detention ponds, underground chambers, parking lot detention, manufactured BMP systems, bioretention facilities, and constructed wetlands. At present, the Mill Branch WMA contains two extended dry detention facilities designed to manage stormwater quantity and quality. The County has also captured a number of surface water impoundments. Some are old farm ponds. Other catchments may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as “TBD” (To Be Determined). The Mill Branch WMA contains approximately eighteen TBDs.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.3-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the Fairfax County 2005 SPA and through additional windshield level

field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the WMA along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

While Giles Run North, Giles Run South, and Mill Branch WMA data was not captured separately, within the Mill Branch watershed, a total of 98 inventory points were visually assessed with only two scoring a 10 or higher. The highest scoring impacts in the Mill Branch watershed included a utility line scoring a 20 (very extreme) and a head cut scoring a 10. Table 19 summarizes all 98 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for the Mill Branch watershed.

Table 29: Overall Mill Branch watershed Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	0	9	4	13	7	3	0	1	0	0	N/A	37
Crossings	34	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	36
Ditches and Pipes	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	15
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	1
Head Cut	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	4
Obstruction	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	3
Utility	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Total	**49**	**2**	**12**	**5**	**15**	**7**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**98**

In the Mill Branch WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include disturbed stream buffers and stream channel incision. Buffer disturbance appears coincident with channel widening and incision on the tributaries noted in this WMA, but appears limited to the downstream channels. An extreme head cut impact has been noted at the downstream end of an existing pond in the southern end of the WMA. Finally, minor to moderate crossing and pipe impacts are noted throughout the WMA. Of note, with so much planned redevelopment activity in this WMA, the stream conditions represented on Map 2.2.3-3 are subject to significant change based on grading activities and other physical amendments to the topography in the area.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total

habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, the Mill Branch watershed was categorized as fair with a length-weighted habitat score of 106, which is slightly better than the Fairfax County average. As the table below illustrates, of the estimated 0.8 miles of stream assessed in Mill Branch, nearly 90 percent were categorized as fair.

Table 30: Mill Branch Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Mills Branch	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	4,376	88.06%	593	11.94%	0	0.00%	4,970

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001 the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 streams sites, one was located in Mill Branch WMA. The table below summarizes the results. Overall, Mill Branch WMA is one of the highest quality Coastal Plain basins in the County, with the fish community rating and biological integrity rated as moderate and fair, respectively

Table 31: Mill Branch Biological Integrity Rating (SPS, 2001)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Mill Branch (MBMB01)	Fair	Fair	Poor	Moderate

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring

- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. Within the Mill Branch WMA the majority of the streams were classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage II, generally characterized as head cutting has occurred. The remaining of the streams classified fell into Stage III, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening.

2.2.4 Sandy Run

General WMA Characteristics

Sandy Run WMA covers 8.12 square miles (5,198 acres) and is located along the central southwestern border of Fairfax County. Sandy Run is bounded by Pohick Creek to the North, Giles Run North to the East, Occoquan and Ryans Dam to the South, and Wolf Run to the West. Sandy Run is bounded on the northeast and east by Ox Road (Route 123), to the west and south by Hampton Road (Route 647). Henderson Road (Route 643) and Clifton Road (Route 645) both bisect the Sandy Run watershed‟s northern half.

Sandy Run lies entirely within the Piedmont Upland physiographic province, characterized by rolling hills underlain by metamorphic rocks. Sandy Run consists of approximately 20 miles of stream and includes two main tributary systems which discharge into the Occoquan River, and ultimately into the Potomac River. Sandy Run, the larger of the two systems, flows southeast in the northern half of Sandy Run then flows south in the southern half of Sandy Run, and drains the majority of the watershed‟s undisturbed areas. A small portion of southern Sandy Run is covered by Fountainhead Regional Park, which is a multi-use area consisting of numerous trails for both biking and hiking. This parkland, which serves as a forested buffer for the Occoquan River and Reservoir, is operated by the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority.

Field Reconnaissance

In July 1982, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors amended the County‟s Comprehensive Plan by down-zoning approximately 41,000 acres of the Occoquan watershed in Fairfax County to an R-C District (Residential – Conservation), which yields a maximum density of one dwelling unit per five acres. This down-zoning action, driven by the County‟s desire to protect the Occoquan Reservoir and the drinking water it supplies to well over one million people, has served to curb intense development in the area. The Sandy Run WMA lies within the area down-zoned by the County in 1982 and contains a total of 32 subwatersheds. As a result, development in the WMA is primarily estate residential, which includes several established, estate subdivisions such as Ardmore Woods, The English Hills, Summerwind, Dominion Valley Hunt, Cathedral Forest, and Shadowalk. The majority of the observed single-family residential parcels is over one acre in size, consistent with the zoning status, and was primarily developed in the 1980s and 1990s. Due to the lack of development density, fewer developmental details for residential properties were evident in the Sandy Run WMA (i.e., not necessarily visible from public streets).

As mentioned above, institutional uses in the watershed are primarily parkland and preserved open space managed by the Fairfax County Park Authority, including Sandy Run Regional Park and Fountainhead Regional Park. The WMA includes some additional institutional uses, including several houses of worship along Ox Road (Route 123).

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality*:-Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 32 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Sandy Run as well as the associated treatment types. Since Sandy Run is primarily undeveloped, with a very small area of residential and commercial development, the watershed as a whole exhibits levels of imperviousness below six percent with the projected nominal increase in the future. As Table 32 shows, the majority of stormwater in Sandy Run is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 32: Sandy Run Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultima Condit		ntity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	%	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Sandy Run	301.70	*5.80*	312.25	*6.01*	95.06	132.76	281.06	4689.24

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.4-1** for existing and future land use for Sandy Run. Sandy Run consists of 5,198 acres, of which approximately 85 percent is either estate residential or open space, forested, and/or parks, making it one of the least developed or rural WMA in Fairfax County. As mentioned above, Sandy Run falls within the WSPOD. The WSPOD

imposes restrictions on development and requires enhanced water quality controls for any development. Existing zoning regulations require minimum lot sizes of five-acres for the Sandy Run watershed. The WSPOD, in addition to Fountainhead Regional Park, have prevented the area from experiencing much development. Table 33 below summarizes the existing land use within the Sandy Run watershed.

Table 33: Sandy Run Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	562.27	10.82%	281.47	5.41%
Golf Course	2.51	0.05%	2.51	0.05%
Estate Residential	3950.73	76.00%	4216.91	81.12%
Low-Density Residential	351.88	6.77%	360.37	6.93%
Medium-Density Residential	18.53	0.36%	18.53	0.36%
High-Density Residential	0.15	0.00%	0.15	0.003%
Low-Intensity commercial	1.19	0.02%	7.32	0.14%
High-Intensity commercial	2.85	0.05%	2.85	0.05%
Industrial	23.51	0.45%	23.51	0.45%
Transportation	198.55	3.82%	198.55	3.82%
Water	52.83	1.02%	52.83	1.02%
Institution	33.12	0.64%	33.12	0.64%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Sandy Run WMA consists primarily of estate residential development and open space/park lands. As a result, the watershed‟s stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

The WMA also contains a wide variety of additional stormwater infrastructure and best management practices which track with the watershed‟s development history. For example, in areas that developed earlier, stormwater management facilities, where present, consist primarily of dry detention basins designed to curb peak storm flows (quantity management). For areas that developed more recently, stormwater management facilities are more likely to include a water quality component, and the variety of facility types increases. Facilities found in these areas include underground chambers, infiltration devices, and wetlands.

Map 2.2.4-2 demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in Sandy Run. Stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to either dry detention basins or directly into Sandy Run and its associated stream valleys and tributaries. Sandy Run contains approximately 22 dry detention facilities designed to manage stormwater quantity, several of which are owned/maintained by the Virginia

Department of Transportation (VDOT). In addition, the County has captured a number of other surface water impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as "TBD." Finally, the WMA contains one underground storage chamber for volume control and one infiltration trench.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.4-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In Sandy Run, a total of 171 inventory points were visually assessed with only two scoring a seven or higher. The highest scoring impacts in Sandy Run were a head cut and a crossing scoring a 10 and seven respectively. Table 34 below summarizes all 171 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for Sandy Run.

Table 34: Sandy Run Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	1	5	26	15	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	53
Crossings	24	42	16	11	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	97
Ditches and Pipes	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	3
Erosion	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	6
Head Cut	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	2
Obstruction	0	1	4	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	9
Utility	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Total	**26**	**49**	**47**	**30**	**11**	**5**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**171**

In Sandy Run, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include disturbed stream buffers, stream channel erosion and/or widening, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Channel widening and incision conditions are noted in the head waters of the Sandy Run main stem, but the downstream main stem of Sandy Run, moving toward the park, generally appears more stable. Pipe discharge into the WMAs streams have a demonstrated impact as well, as these pipes discharge stormwater

runoff directly into the streams in many instances, contributing to the upstream widening and erosive conditions. Road crossing impacts in Sandy Run are generally minor, with the exception of a severe instance on a small tributary upstream of the main stem‟s crossing with Henderson Road. A handful of minor obstructions are noted in the headwaters area of Sandy Run, as well as a couple of dump sites, which can be more prevalent in less populated and developed watersheds.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. As illustrated below, of the estimated 20 sampled miles of stream assessed in Sandy Run, over 82 percent was considered fair, and 13 percent was considered good. Overall, Sandy Run was categorized as fair with a length-weighted habitat score of 104, equaling the Fairfax County average. Table 35 identifies the stream physical habitat conditions for the Sandy Run streams.

Table 35: Sandy Run Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Sandy Run	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	5,407	28.88%	13,315	71.12%	0	0.00%	18,722
Tributary to Occoquan River	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	12,270	90.83%	1,238	9.17%	0	0.00%	13,509
Tributary to Sandy Run	0	0.00%	4,734	6.28%	70,602	93.72%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	75,337
Total	**0**	**0.00%**	**4,734**	**4.40%**	**88,280**	**82.07%**	**14,553**	**13.53%**	**0**	**0.00%**	**107,567**

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical, and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 stream sites, three were located in Sandy Run. Table 36 below summarizes the results. Overall, Sandy Run has some of the highest water quality in the County. However, the unnamed tributary within Sandy Run had a fish community rating and biological integrity rating of very low and good, respectively. According to the 2001 SPS report, this was a direct result of heavy loads of sediment entering the system, due to the insufficient maintenance of control structures at an upstream development site during the summer prior to the 2001 Stream Protection Baseline Study.

Table 36: Sandy Run Biological Integrity Ranting (2001 SPS)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Sandy Run 1 (SASA01)	Excellent	Good	Good	High
Sandy Run 2 (SASA03)	Excellent	Good	Good	Moderate
Sandy Run Unnamed Tributary (SASA02)	Fair	Good	Fair	Very Low

Fairfax County stream conditions are assessed through bacteria, physical, chemical and biological sampling at multiple monitoring stations through the County‟s stream monitoring program. These monitoring stations are randomly selected each year throughout the county to capture water quality and biological health data for various drainage areas and stream sizes. In 2006, the County had two monitoring stations located within Lower Occoquan, one in Sandy Run watershed and the second in the Occoquan watershed. See **Table 37** below for monitoring results (Annual Report, 2006).

Table 37: Sandy Run Monitoring Results*

				Benthic		Fish		Bacteria
WMA	Site ID	Stream Order	Drainage Area (mi)	IBI	Rating	IBI	Rating	Sample Exceeding
Sandy Run	SA0501	1	0.17	47	Fair	N/A		1 of 4

(Annual Report, 2006 * monitoring results for 2005 sample year)

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur

- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. Table 38 below summarizes the CEM results for Sandy Run.

Table 38: Sandy Run CEM results (SPA, 2005)

	Evolution Stage										Total of Reach Length
	I		II		III		IV		V		
WMA	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	(ft)
Sandy Run	0	0%	0	0%	66,114	65%	35102	35%	0	0%	101,217

2.2.5 High Point

General WMA Characteristics

Although High Point is considered a small watershed covering only 5.55 square miles (3,555 acres), it is one of the larger WMAs which make up the Lower Occoquan watershed. Located on a peninsula in the southeastern corner of Fairfax County, more than two-thirds of High Point‟s boundary is surrounded by the Potomac River, resulting in all tributaries within High Point watershed draining directly to the Potomac River. The High Point WMA is roughly bounded on the north end by Pohick Bay Drive (Route 721) and on the west by Gunston Road (Route 242) down to the point at the confluence of Gunston Cove and the Potomac River. The High Point WMA also extends to points south and west along High Point Road adjacent to the Kane Creek WMA to the north and the Potomac River to the south. The High Point WMA is a portion of the 800 acre Mason Neck peninsula.

High Point lies entirely within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, characterized by relatively gentle topography. The majority of the High Point watershed is covered by wetlands and is protected as part of the Elizabeth Hartwell Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge and State Park, which were established to help protect the declining bald eagle population and provide a habitat for a variety of wildlife. Since High Point has had minimal environmental impacts, the area may be used in the future as a source of small stream reference conditions in the Coastal Plain region, but further research is needed to evaluate the region as a source of potential reference. The County has experienced difficulty in sampling the streams within High Point, since the streams do not conform to the “wadeable, flowing stream” standard. The streams are almost flowing wetlands. Due to this limitation, the County has been unable to conduct biological monitoring of these systems.

Field Reconnaissance

The High Point WMA includes portions of Pohick Bay Regional Park; Mason Neck State Park, operated by the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation‟s Division of State Park; the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge, operated by the United States Department of the Interior; and the Gunston Hall Plantation, the ancestral home of George Mason now operating as a museum. As a result, development in the WMA has been limited to the areas east of Gunston Road and south of the Gunston Hall Plantation site in the lower end of the Mason Neck peninsula. Two primary residential subdivisions have been developed in Mason Neck, Hallowing Point River Estates and Gunston Manor.

The majority of the observed single- family residential parcels were roughly ½ acre to over one acre in size and were primarily developed in the 1970s (30 plus years old) and 1980s (20 plus years old). Residential subdivision streets lack curb and gutter and no sidewalks were observed. Of note, in the Gunston Manor area, many of the residential parcels have been further subdivided as property owners have sold small plots to buyers desiring boat slip privileges in Gunston Cove. Many of the subdivided parcels have no public right of way access (i.e. no access from public streets). Very few of these parcels have any evidence of development or buildings.

As mentioned above, institutional uses in the watershed are primarily parkland and preserved open space managed by a variety of state, federal, and local government entities, including the Fairfax County Park Authority, as well as privately held historic properties. The High Point WMA contains one house of worship, the Shiloh Baptist Church, located on Gunston Road. As such, grass and tree cover is prevalent throughout the High Point WMA.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 39 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for High Point as well as the associated treatment types. Since High Point is so virtually undeveloped, with only very small pocket areas of residential and commercial development, the watershed has relatively low levels of imperviousness. The impervious levels within High Point are expected to increase by less than one percent. As expected, with minimal older development, the majority of stormwater in High Point is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 39: High Point Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
High Point	84.79	*2.38*	104.14	*2.93*	0	2.58	0	3552.77

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.5-1** for existing and future land use for High Point. High Point consists of 3,555 acres, of which, approximately 85 percent is either forested, wetland or pasture, making it one of the least developed or rural watersheds in the County. Since 1965, the Mason Neck peninsula has been protected by the Elizabeth Hartwell Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge and Mason Neck State Park. As a result High Point has experienced minimal development. The development it does have is located on the far eastern shore, east of Gunston Road and South of the Gunston Hall Plantation. Table 40 below summarizes the land uses within the High Point WMA.

Table 40: High Point Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	2953.99	83.09%	2697.40	75.87%
Golf Course	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Estate Residential	203.31	5.72%	459.89	12.94%
Low-Density Residential	172.73	4.86%	172.73	4.86%
Medium-Density Residential	21.10	0.59%	21.10	0.59%
High-Density Residential	3.16	0.09%	3.16	0.09%
Low-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Industrial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Transportation	106.50	3.00%	106.49	3.00%
Water	13.75	0.39%	13.75	0.39%
Institution	80.84	2.27%	80.84	2.27%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The High Point WMA consists primarily of open space/park lands with two mature residential subdivisions. As a result, the WMA"s stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

Due to the overall lack of development in the High Point WMA, very little formal stormwater infrastructure exists today, which tracks with both the age of the residential development that does exist and the land uses represented. **Map 2.2.5-2** demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the High Point WMA. Stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to Gunston Cove, the Potomac River, and to Belmont Bay. Fairfax County has captured a number of surface water impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax

County stormwater management facility inventory as "TBD." The High Point WMA contains approximately eight TBDs.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.5-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In High Point, a total of six inventory points were visually assessed, with the two highest impacts both being deficient buffers, each scoring a five. Table 41 below summarizes all six inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for High Point.

Table 41: High Point Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2
Crossings	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	4
Ditches and Pipes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Head Cut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Obstruction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Utility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Total	**3**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**6**

In the High Point WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include disturbed stream buffers, stream channel erosion and/or widening, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Channel incision conditions and crossing impacts are noted in a tributary stream along Gunston Road draining into Gunston Cove. Channel incision was also noted on a tributary running through portions of the Mason Neck State Park and the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge. Very few pipe discharges are noted in the WMA, and road crossing impacts in the High Point WMA are generally minor. Stream buffer deficiencies are noted sporadically around the WMA, with the most significant, contiguous deficiencies noted in the residential area around Hallowing Point River Estates.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, High Point was categorized as good with a length-weighted habitat score of 124, which is one of the highest scores in Fairfax County. Of the estimated three miles of stream assessed in High Point, nearly 96 percent of the streams were categorized as good, the largest percent of any watershed in the Lower Occoquan. However, it should be noted, many of the streams in High Point were not sampled.

Table 42: High Point Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Trib. to Potomac River	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	638	4.02%	15,218	95.98%	0	0.00%	15,856

Stream Biological Habitat

Due to the characteristics of the majority of streams within the High Point WMA, the County has been unable to obtain valuable biological monitoring data. According to the 2001 SPS "*Methods for monitoring coastal wetland areas with variable drainages, such as the entire High Point Watershed, will need to be developed. These areas cannot currently be sampled under the RBP protocol, which requires clearly defined stream systems. The value of various indicators, such as macro invertebrates, amphibians, and even plants, will need to be assessed with regard to their utility in highlighting degradation in wetland environments."* (SPS, 2001)

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. In the High Point watershed, 100 percent of the streams surveyed are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage II, generally characterized by down-cutting in the channel bottom which ultimately leads to the heavy erosion and sediment production of a Stage III channel. Table 43 below summarizes the CEM results for High Point.

Table 43: High Point CEM Results (SPA, 2005)

	Evolution Stage									
	I		II		III		IV		V	
WMA	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%
High Point	0	0 %	15,856	100 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	0	0 %

2.2.6 Wolf Run

General WMA Characteristics

Although Wolf Run is considered a small watershed covering only 5.88 square miles (3,762 acres) along the central southwestern border of Fairfax County, it is a medium-sized WMA within the Lower Occoquan watersheds. Wolf Run is bounded by Popes Head Creek to the North, Sandy Run to the East, Ryans Dam and the Occoquan River to the South, and Old Mill Branch to the West. The Wolf Run WMA is roughly bounded on the north end by Chapel Road (Route 641) east of the Town of Clifton, on the east by Wolf Run Shoal Road (Route 610) and roughly on the south and west by Henderson Road (Route 643), which bisects the extreme southern portion of the WMA. The Wolf Run WMA is bisected in the northern region by Clifton Road (Route 645) and Yates Ford Road (Route 612). The Wolf Run WMA outfalls directly into the Occoquan River and Reservoir.

Wolf Run lies entirely within the Piedmont Upland physiographic province, characterized by rolling hills underlain by metamorphic rocks. The Wolf Run watershed consists of approximately 16 miles of stream and includes one main tributary system, Wolf Run, which flows southwest and discharges directly into the Occoquan River, and ultimately into the Potomac River. A small portion of southern Wolf Run is covered by Fountainhead Regional Park, which is a multi-use area consisting of numerous trails for both biking and hiking. This parkland, which serves as a forested buffer for the Occoquan River and Reservoir, is operated by the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority.

Field Reconnaissance

The Wolf Run WMA includes a portion of Fountainhead Regional Park at the downstream end of the WMA and also includes two significant named tributaries – Swift Run, located east of the main stem of Wolf Run with headwaters around Wolf Run Shoals Road; and Maple Branch, with its headwaters near the intersection of Henderson Road and Yates Ford Road. In July 1982, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors amended the County"s Comprehensive Plan by down-zoning approximately 41,000 acres of the Occoquan watershed in Fairfax County to an R-C District (Residential – Conservation), which yields a maximum density of one dwelling unit per five acres. This down-zoning action, driven by the County"s desire to protect the Occoquan Reservoir and the drinking water it supplies to well over one million people, has served to curb intense development in the area. The Wolf Run WMA lies within the area down-zoned by Fairfax County in 1982 and consists of 24 subwatersheds.

As a result, development in the watershed is primarily estate residential, which includes several established, estate subdivisions such as Wolf Run Estates, Wolf Run, Wolf Run Hills, Lakewood Estates, Wolfs Landing, Plantation Hills, and Rose Hall. The majority of the observed single- family residential parcels are over one acre in size and were primarily developed in the 1980s (20 plus years old) and 1990s (10 plus years old). Residential subdivision streets lack curb and gutter and no sidewalks were observed. These larger lot developments also demonstrated significant grass and tree cover, with impervious cover estimates at ten percent or lower.

Non-residential uses in the Wolf Run WMA appear to be limited to parkland (portion of Fountainhead Regional Park) and a few small, private cemeteries. No schools, shopping centers, or other institutional or commercial developments were observed. As such, grass and tree cover is prevalent throughout the Wolf Run WMA.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 44 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Wolf Run as well as the associated treatment types. Since Wolf Run is extremely undeveloped, with a very small area of commercial development, the area as a whole exhibits levels of imperviousness below five percent and are expected to increase by less than one-half percent in the future. As Table 44 shows, the majority of stormwater in Wolf Run is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 44: Wolf Run Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Wolf Run	163.51	*4.35*	172.34	*4.58*	0	105.68	12.68	3643.32

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.6-1** for existing and future land use for Wolf Run. Wolf Run consists of 3,762 acres, of which approximately 92 percent is either open space, forested, or estate residential, making it one of the least developed or rural watersheds in Fairfax County. As mentioned above, Wolf Run WMA lies within the WSPOD. The WSPOD imposes restrictions on development and requires enhanced water quality controls for any development. Existing zoning regulations require minimum lot sizes of five-acres for the Wolf Run WMA. The WSPOD, in addition to Fountainhead Regional Park, have prevented the area from experiencing much development.

Table 45: Wolf Run Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	379.05	10.08%	170.67	4.54%
Golf Course	0.00	0.00%	0	0.00%
Estate Residential	3125.56	83.09%	3333.93	88.63%
Low-Density Residential	128.32	3.41%	128.31	3.41%
Medium-Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Low-Intensity commercial	0.93	0.02%	0.93	0.02%
High-Intensity commercial	0.002	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Industrial	0.19	0.01%	0.19	0.01%
Transportation	77.56	2.06%	77.56	2.06%
Water	48.76	1.30%	48.76	1.30%
Institution	1.32	0.04%	1.32	0.04%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Wolf Run WMA consists primarily of multiple, mature, estate residential subdivisions upstream of open space located in Fountainhead Regional Park. As a result, the WMAs stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

Due to the nature of development in the Wolf Run WMA, very little formal stormwater infrastructure exists today. Given that several areas in the Wolf Run WMA appear to have developed more recently, the stormwater management facilities present include both a water quality and water quantity management component. **Map 2.2.6-2** demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Wolf Run WMA. Two wet detention facilities are located in the Wolf Run WMA. Other stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to main stem tributaries and eventually to the Occoquan River. Limited stormwater pipe infrastructure is present in the WMA, primarily in the southern reaches near Henderson Road. Fairfax County has

captured a number of surface water impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as "TBD." The Wolf Run WMA contains approximately 49 TBDs.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.6-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional, windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In Wolf Run, a total of 133 inventory points were visually assessed. The highest scoring impact in the Wolf Run watershed was a head cut with a score of 10. Table 46 below summarizes all 133 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for Wolf Run.

Table 46: Wolf Run Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	1	7	24	17	8	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	57
Crossings	33	11	11	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	65
Ditches and Pipes	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	6
Erosion	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	1
Head Cut	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	2
Obstruction	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2
Utility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**37**	**12**	**19**	**32**	**24**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**133**

In the Wolf Run WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include channel widening coincident with poor overall stream habitat, disturbed stream buffers in the headwaters reaches of Wolf Run and its tributaries, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Channels noted as widening are almost universally impacted by multiple crossing impacts, including widening noted on Swift Run, Maple Branch, and the unnamed tributary following Lakewood Lane in the southern end of the Wolf Run WMA. Crossing impacts are noted as primarily minor, with the exception of a pair in the southern end of Wolf Run. In addition, several moderate to severe obstructions are noted in two different Wolf Run tributaries. Head cuts, including one severe instance –

over two feet, were noted in the upper reaches of Wolf Run and two dump sites were identified as well.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, Wolf Run was categorized as fair with a length-weighted habitat score of 99, which is slightly lower than the Fairfax County average. Of the estimated 16 miles of stream assessed in Wolf Run, approximately 70 percent was categorized as fair, with nearly ten percent being categorized as poor. Approximately four percent of the streams were categorized as very poor, the largest percent of any watershed in the Lower Occoquan in that category

Table 47: Wolf Run Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Maple Branch	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7,679	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7,679
Swift Run	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6,540	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6,540
Trib. to Wolf Run	3430	9.4%	8,042	22.2%	24,841	68.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	36,313
Wolf Run	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20,695	60.1%	13,761	39.9%	0	0.0%	34,456
Total	**3,430**	**4.0%**	**8,042**	**9.5%**	**59,756**	**70.3%**	**13,761**	**16.2%**	**0**	**0.0%**	**84,989**

Stream Biological Habitat

The 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical, and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 stream sites, 2 were located in Wolf Run. Table 48 below summarizes the results. Overall, Wolf Run"s biological integrity was rated as excellent and is among the highest in the County, but the fish community rating was very low to moderate, among the worst in the County.

Table 48: Wolf Run Biological Integrity Ranting (2001 SPS)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Wolf Run 1 (WRWR01)	Fair	Excellent	Fair	Very Low
Wolf Run 2 (WRWR02)	Excellent	Excellent	Good	Moderate

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. In the Wolf Run watershed, approximately 98 percent of the streams are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage III, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening. The remaining streams fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage II, indicating head cuts that could ultimately lead into Stage III. Table 49 below summarizes the CEM results for Wolf Run.

Table 49: Wolf Run CEM results (SPA, 2005)

WMA	Evolution Stage										Total of Reach Length
	I		II		III		IV		V		
	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	(ft)
Wolf Run	0	0%	1,665	2%	83,324	98%	0	0%	0	0%	84,989

2.2.7 Kane Creek

General WMA Characteristics

Kane Creek is located on a peninsula in the southeastern corner of Fairfax County and covers 4.81 square miles (3,076 acres). Kane Creek lies entirely within the Coastal Plain physiographic province, characterized by relatively gentle topography and consists of approximately 8.5 miles of stream. The Kane Creek WMA consists of several small independent streams, with four main tributary systems which discharge into the Belmont Bay along the Potomac River. The two largest systems, Kane Creek and Thompson Creek, flow south and drain the majority of the WMA. The southern and eastern portions of the Kane Creek watershed are mostly covered by wetlands and are protected as part of the Elizabeth Hartwell Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge and Mason Neck State Park. These areas were established to help protect the declining bald eagle population and provide a habitat for a variety of wildlife. As a result of this protection, Kane Creek is one of the highest quality Coastal Plain basins within Fairfax County and has been used as a source for reference conditions for other watersheds.

The Kane Creek WMA is roughly bounded on the north end by Gunston Road (Route 242) and to the west by Belmont Boulevard (Route 601) and by Belmont Bay, to which Kane Creek"s non-tidal tributaries drain. The Kane Creek WMA is roughly bounded to the south by High Point Road and extends east into portions of the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge. Kane Creek is tidally influenced well into Mason Neck State Park. The WMA includes other tributary streams of note, including Thompson Creek, which runs through the Meadowood Special Recreation Management Area described below.

Field Reconnaissance

The Kane Creek WMA includes a total of 22 subwatersheds as well as a significant portion of the 800-acre Mason Neck peninsula, which in turn contains Gunston Hall - historic home of George Mason IV, author of the Virginia Bill of Rights. Public uses on Mason Neck include the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mason Neck State Park managed by the Commonwealth of Virginia"s Department of Conservation and Recreation, and Pohick Bay Regional Park managed by the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority. Similar to the High Point WMA, development in the Kane Creek WMA has been limited primarily to the areas south of Gunston Road and west of Springfield Road. Several residential subdivisions have been developed in the Mason Neck area, including Springfield Farms, Belmont Park Estates, Gunston Heights, and Wiley. The majority of the observed single-family residential parcels were over one acre in size and were primarily developed in the 1980s (20 plus years old) and 1990s (10 plus years old). Residential subdivision streets lack curb and gutter and no sidewalks were observed.

As mentioned above, institutional uses in the watershed are primarily parkland and preserved open space managed by a variety of public entities, including the Fairfax County Park Authority, as well as privately held historic properties. For example, the Kane Creek WMA contains the Meadowood Special Recreation Management Area, operated by the federal Bureau of Land Management, an agency of the Department of the Interior. Meadowood Recreation Area encompasses several hundred acres of

forests, meadows, hiking and horseback riding trails, and an equestrian facility. Thompson Creek runs through the Meadowood property.

These institutional uses account for a great deal of preserved open space, woodlands, and tidal wetlands in the Kane Creek WMA. As such, grass and tree cover is prevalent throughout the WMA.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 50 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Kane Creek as well as the associated treatment types. Since Kane Creek is almost completely undeveloped, with only very small areas of residential and commercial development, the entire area exhibits levels of imperviousness below two percent. As Table 50 shows, the majority of stormwater in Kane Creek is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 50: Kane Creek Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Kane Creek	57.93	*1.88*	70.70	*2.30*	0	4.03	11.76	3060.11

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.7-1** for existing and future land use for Kane Creek. Kane Creek consists of 3,076 acres, of which more than 75 percent is either forested, wetland or pasture, making it one of the least developed or rural watersheds in Fairfax County. The southern portion of Kane Creek is located on the Mason Neck peninsula, which has been protected since 1965 by the Mason Neck National Wildlife Refuge and State Park to protect the area"s wildlife and habitat, preventing the area from experiencing much development.

Table 51: Kane Creek Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	2395.03	77.86%	2203.00	71.62%
Golf Course	8.09	0.26%	8.09	0.26%
Estate Residential	505.27	16.43%	697.30	22.67%
Low-Density Residential	70.29	2.29%	70.29	2.29%
Medium-Density Residential	6.30	0.20%	6.30	0.20%
High-Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Low-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Industrial	0.24	0.01%	0.24	0.01%
Transportation	50.14	1.63%	50.14	1.63%
Water	39.48	1.28%	39.48	1.28%
Institution	1.06	0.03%	1.06	0.03%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Kane Creek WMA consists primarily of open space/park lands to the east with several mature, estate residential subdivisions to the west, abutting Mason Neck State Park. As a result, the WMAs stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

Due to the overall lack of development in the Kane Creek WMA, very little formal stormwater infrastructure exists today. Some piped stormwater conveyances are noted, but no stormwater BMPs have been noted to date. **Map 2.2.7-2** demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Kane Creek WMA. Stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to the tidal and non-tidal portions of Kane Creek and to Belmont Bay. Fairfax County has captured a number of surface water impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in

the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as “TBD.” The Kane Creek WMA contains approximately thirteen TBDs.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.7-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional, windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In Kane Creek, a total of 13 inventory points were visually assessed, with the two highest impacts, a crossing and a deficient buffer, scoring a seven and five, respectively. Table 52 summarizes all 13 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for Kane Creek.

Table 52: Kane Creek Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2
Crossings	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	10
Ditches and Pipes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Head Cut	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	1
Obstruction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Utility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**9**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**13**

In the Kane Creek WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include stream channel erosion, widening, and incision, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Channel incision conditions and crossing impacts are noted in most of the upstream, non-tidal tributaries in the Kane Creek WMA, including Thompson Creek in the Meadowood property. Most of the crossing impacts noted is minor, with the exception of one major impact noted near Belmont Landing Road in Belmont Park Estates. Very few pipe discharges are noted in the WMA, and road crossing impacts in the Kane Creek WMA are generally minor. Stream buffer disturbance has been noted in a few of the upstream tributaries, but is less prevalent than in other lower Occoquan WMAs. Where stream buffer deficiencies are noted, they appear more sporadically around the WMA, with no significant, contiguous deficiencies noted.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, Kane Creek was categorized as good with a length-weighted habitat score of 128, the second highest score in Fairfax County. Of the estimated seven miles of stream assessed in Kane Creek, approximately 76 percent were categorized as good, along with nearly 18 percent being categorized as excellent.

Table 53: Kane Creek Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Kane Creek	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2,072	10.48%	10,666	53.94%	7,034	35.58%	19,772
Thompson Creek	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	15,493	100.00%	0	0.00%	15,493
Trib. to Potomac River	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1,300	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,300
Trib. to Thompson Creek	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1,970	100.00%	0	0.00%	1,970
Total	**0**	**0.00%**	**0**	**0.00%**	**2,072**	**5.38%**	**29,429**	**76.37%**	**7,034**	**18.25%**	**38,535**

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical, and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 stream sites, one was located in the Kane Creek WMA. Table 54 below summarizes the results. Overall, the Kane Creek WMA represents the highest quality Coastal Plain basins in all of Fairfax County with the fish community rating and biological integrity rated as high and excellent, respectively.

Table 54: Kane Creek Biological Integrity Ranting (2001 SPS)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Kane Creek (KCKC01)	Excellent	Excellent	Good	High

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. In the Kane Creek WMA, approximately two-thirds of the streams are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage II, indicating head cuts that could ultimately lead into Stage III. The remaining streams fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage II, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening.

Table 55: Kane Creek CEM results (SPA, 2005)

WMA	Evolution Stage										Total of Reach Length
	I		II		III		IV		V		
	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	(ft)
Kane Creek	0	0 %	24,118	64 %	13,861	36 %	0	0 %	0	0 %	37,979

2.2.8 Old Mill Branch

General WMA Characteristics

Old Mill Branch, one of the smallest of the Lower Occoquan watersheds, is adjacent to Bull Run and the Occoquan River and covers 4.26 square miles (2,724 acres) along the central southwestern border of Fairfax County. Old Mill Branch lies entirely within the Piedmont Upland physiographic province, characterized by rolling hills underlain by metamorphic rocks. Old Mill Branch consists of approximately six miles of streams and includes several small tributary systems which discharge directly into Bull Run or the Occoquan River, and ultimately into the Potomac River.

The Old Mill Branch WMA is roughly bounded on the north end by Yates Ford Road (Route 615), on the east by Henderson Road (Route 643) to roughly the edge of Fountainhead Regional Park, to the west by Hemlock Overlook Regional Park and to the south by the Occoquan River. Old Yates Ford Road (Route 612) bisects the WMA from east to west. The Old Mill Branch WMA outfalls directly into Bull Run, which is a major tributary of the Occoquan River.

Old Mill Branch, the watershed"s main tributary system, flows southwest and drains the northern portion of the watershed. The western boundary of Old Mill Branch is covered by parkland, which serves as a forested buffer for the Occoquan River and Reservoir, and is operated by the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority. Fountainhead Regional Park, located along the southwestern half of the watershed, is a multi-use area consisting of numerous trails for both biking and hiking. Hemlock Overlook Regional Park, located along the northwestern half of the watershed, serves as an Outdoor Education Center, offering a wide variety of outdoor activities and is jointly operated by George Mason University.

Field Reconnaissance

The Old Mill Branch WMA is roughly half parkland/open space and half estate residential development. The Old Mill Branch WMA includes a portion of Fountainhead Regional Park at the downstream end of the WMA as well as portions of Hemlock Regional Overlook Park to the north and west and the entire Bull Run Marina Regional Park.

In July 1982, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors amended the County"s Comprehensive Plan by down-zoning approximately 41,000 acres of the Occoquan watershed in Fairfax County to an R-C District (Residential – Conservation), which yields a maximum density of one dwelling unit per five acres. This down-zoning action, driven by the County"s desire to protect the Occoquan Reservoir and the drinking water it supplies to well over one million people, has served to curb intense development in the area. The Old Mill Branch WMA lies within the area down-zoned by Fairfax County in 1982 and contains a total of 18 subwatersheds.

As mentioned, development in the watershed is primarily estate residential, which includes several established, estate subdivisions such as Mill Branch, Wyckland, Clifton Hunt Estates, Turtle Valley Estates, Squires Place, and Sylvan Manor. The majority of the observed single-family residential parcels are over one acre in size and were primarily developed in the 1970s (30 plus years old), 1980s (20 plus years old), and

1990s (10 plus years old). Residential subdivision streets lack curb and gutter and no sidewalks were observed. These larger lot developments also demonstrated significant grass and some tree cover, with impervious cover estimates at ten percent or lower.

Institutional uses in the Old Mill Branch WMA appear to be limited to parkland, as part of the Fountainhead Regional Park, Hemlock Overlook Regional Park, and the Bull Run Marina Regional Park, along with a few small, private cemeteries. No schools, shopping centers, or other institutional or commercial developments were observed. As such, grass and tree cover is prevalent throughout the Old Mill Branch WMA.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 56 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Old Mill Branch as well as the associated treatment types. Since Old Mill Branch is extremely undeveloped with a very small area of commercial development, the area as a whole exhibits levels of imperviousness 2.3 percent and is expected to increase less than 0.3 percent. As Table 56 shows, the majority of stormwater in Old Mill Branch is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 56: Old Mill Branch Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Old Mill Branch	62.21	*2.28*	69.55	*2.55*	0	19.17	10.30	2694.16

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.8-1** for existing and future land use for Old Mill Branch. Old Mill Branch consists of 2,724 acres, of which almost 90 percent is considered open space forested, or estate residential land use which makes Old Mill Branch one of the least developed or rural watersheds in Fairfax County. The Old Mill Branch WMA falls within WSPOD. The WSPOD imposes restrictions on development and requires enhanced water quality controls for any development. Existing zoning regulations require minimum lot sizes of five-acres for the Old Mill Branch WMA. The WSPOD, in addition to Fountainhead Regional Park and Hemlock Regional Park, have prevented the area from experiencing much development.

Table 57: Old Mill Branch Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS, 2008)

Land Use Description	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
	Acres	Percent	Acres	Percent
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	1590.7	58.40%	1456.02	53.46%
Golf Course	0	0.00%	0.00	0
Estate Residential	1053.83	38.69%	1188.51	43.64%
Low-Density Residential	11.979	0.44%	11.98	0.44%
Medium-Density Residential	0	0.00%	0.00	0
High-Density Residential	0	0.00%	0.00	0
Low-Intensity commercial	0	0.00%	0.00	0
High-Intensity commercial	0	0.00%	0.00	0
Industrial	3.725	0.14%	3.72	0.14%
Transportation	26.799	0.98%	26.80	0.98%
Water	27.21	1.00%	27.21	1.00%
Institution	9.401	0.35%	9.40	0.35%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Old Mill Branch WMA consists primarily of multiple, mature, estate residential subdivisions upstream of open space located in Fountainhead Regional Park, Bull Run Marina Park, and Hemlock Overlook Regional Park. As a result, the WMAs stormwater

infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

Due to the nature of development in the Old Mill Branch WMA, very little formal stormwater infrastructure exists today. Older development in the WMA likely pre-dates local requirements for stormwater management. For areas of the Old Mill Branch WMA that have been developed more recently, the stormwater management facilities present include both a water quality and water quantity management component. **Map 2.2.8-2** demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Old Mill Branch WMA. One wet detention facility is located in the Old Mill Branch WMA. Other stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to main stem tributaries and eventually to Bull Run and to the Occoquan River. Limited stormwater pipe infrastructure is present in the WMA, primarily in the northern reaches near Henderson Road and Yates Ford Road. Fairfax County has captured a number of surface water impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as "TBD." The Old Mill Branch WMA contains approximately nine TBDs.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.8-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional, windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In Old Mill Branch, a total of 29 inventory points were visually assessed. The highest scoring impact in the Old Mill Branch watershed was a crossing with a score of nine. Table 58 below summarizes all 29 inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for Old Mill Branch.

Table 58: Old Mill Branch Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	>10	Total
Deficient Buffers	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	9
Crossings	9	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	N/A	16
Ditches and Pipes	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2

Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	N/A	2
Head Cut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Obstruction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Utility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**10**	**2**	**0**	**3**	**3**	**4**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**29**

In the Old Mill Branch WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include channel widening coincident with limited, poor overall stream habitat; disturbed stream buffers in the headwaters reaches of Old Mill Branch and its tributaries, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Channels noted as widening almost universally appear to be located in the residentially developed areas of the WMA. The stream conditions in the public lands in the Old Mill Branch WMA are noted as generally healthy. Crossing impacts are noted as minor, with the exception of a pair in the Bull Run Marina Regional Park area. One severe crossing impact is noted on Kinchloe Road near Bull Run. Another severe crossing impact is noted near the boat ramp in Bull Run Marina Regional Park.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, Old Mill Branch was categorized as fair with a length-weighted habitat score of 99, which is slightly lower than the Fairfax County average. Of the estimated six miles of stream assessed in Old Mill Branch, nearly 89 percent was categorized as fair, the largest percentage of any watershed in the Lower Occoquan in that category, along with approximately five percent being categorized as poor.

Table 59: Old Mill Branch Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Old Mill Branch	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8,755	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	8,755
Trib. to Bull Run	0	0.00%	1,586	7.47%	17,734	83.47%	1,927	9.07%	0	0.00%	21,247

Trib. to Old Mill Branch	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1,627	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	1,627
Total	**0**	**0.00%**	**1,586**	**5.02%**	**28,116**	**88.89%**	**1,927**	**6.09%**	**0**	**0.00%**	**31,629**

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical, and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 stream sites, one was located in the Old Mill Branch watershed. Table 60 below summarizes the results. Old Mill Branch"s biological integrity was rated as excellent and is among the highest in the County, but the fish community rating was low, which ranks among the worst in the County.

Table 60: Old Mill Branch Biological Integrity Ranting (2001 SPS)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Old Mill Branch (OMOM01)	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Low

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel

- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. In the Old Mill Branch WMA, nearly three quarters of the streams are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage III, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening. The remaining streams fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage IV, indicating re-stabilization and decreased stream bank slopes.

Table 61: Old Mill Branch CEM results (SPA, 2005)

	Evolution Stage										Total of Reach Length
	I		II		III		IV		V		
WMA	Length		Length		Length		Length		Leng t h		
	(ft)	%	(ft)	%	(ft)	%	(ft)	%	(ft)	%	(ft)
Old Mill Branch	0	0 %	0	0 %	22,874	72 %	8755	28 %	0	0 %	31,629

2.2.9 Ryans Dam

General WMA Characteristics

Ryans Dam, one of the smallest of the Lower Occoquan watersheds, is adjacent to the Occoquan River and Reservoir and covers 3.53 square miles (2,262 acres) along the central southwestern border of Fairfax County. Ryans Dam lies entirely within the Piedmont Upland physiographic province, characterized by rolling hills underlain by metamorphic rocks.

The Ryans Dam WMA is bounded on the north by Henderson Road (Route 643) and roughly to the west by Henderson Road as well. The WMA is bounded on the east by Hampton Road (Route 647) and to the south by the Occoquan Reservoir. The WMA contains a number of tributary streams and stream valleys, including Stilwell Run. The Ryans Dam WMA outfalls directly into the Occoquan River and Reservoir.

Since Ryans Dam is primarily undeveloped, much of the stream system within the WMA is undeveloped and runs naturally therefore Ryans Dam WMA has one of the higher values of stream lengths in the County. Ryans Dam consists of approximately 49 miles of stream and includes several small tributary systems which flow southwest and discharge directly into the Occoquan River, and ultimately into the Potomac River. Fountainhead Regional Park, operated by the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority, is located along the southern half of the Ryans Dam watershed, and serves as a forested buffer for the Occoquan River and Reservoir. Fountainhead Regional Park is a multi-use area consisting of numerous trails for both biking and hiking.

Field Reconnaissance

The majority of the Ryans Dam WMA is parkland/open space, including a significant portion of Fountainhead Regional Park, with the remaining portion estate residential development. In July 1982, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors amended the County‟s Comprehensive Plan by down-zoning approximately 41,000 acres of the Occoquan watershed in Fairfax County to an R-C District (Residential – Conservation), which yields a maximum density of one dwelling unit per five acres. This down-zoning action, driven by the County‟s desire to protect the Occoquan Reservoir and the drinking water it supplies to well over one million people, has served to curb intense development in the area.

The Ryans Dam WMA lies within the area down-zoned by Fairfax County in 1982 and contains a total of 18 subwatersheds. As a result, development in the watershed is primarily upstream of Fountainhead Regional Park and consists of estate residential, which includes several established, estate subdivisions such as Fountainhead, Rondelay, Burkeridge Estates, and Crest Landing. The majority of the observed single-family residential parcels are over one acre in size and were primarily developed in the 1970s (30 plus years old) and 1980s (20 plus years old). Residential subdivision streets lack curb and gutter and no sidewalks were observed. These larger lot developments also demonstrated significant grass and some tree cover, with impervious cover estimates at ten percent or lower based on the size of the lots and the amount of development present.

Institutional uses in the Ryans Dam WMA appear to be limited to parkland, as part of the Fountainhead Regional Park, Hemlock Overlook Regional Park, and the Bull Run Marina Regional Park, along with a few small, private cemeteries. No schools, shopping centers, or other institutional or commercial developments were observed. As such, grass and tree cover is prevalent throughout the Ryans Dam WMA.

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document, Table 62 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Ryans Dam as well as the associated treatment types. Since Ryans Dam is extremely undeveloped, with small areas of residential and commercial development, the area as a whole exhibits very low levels of imperviousness. The majority of stormwater in Old Mill Branch is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 62: Ryans Dam Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Ryans Dam	45.77	*2.02*	51.76	*2.29*	0	47.25	0	2214.56

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.9-1** for existing and future land use for Ryans Dam. Ryans Dam consists of 2,262 acres, of which almost 70 percent is either forested, wetland or pasture, making it one of the least developed or rural WMAs in the County. The Ryans Dam WMA falls within the WSPOD. The WSPOD imposes restrictions on development and requires enhanced water quality controls for any development. Existing zoning regulations require minimum lot sizes of five-acres for Ryans Dam. The WSPOD, in addition to Fountainhead Regional Park, have prevented the area from experiencing much development.

Table 63: Ryans Dam Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS layer, 2008)

	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
Land Use Description	**Acres**	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	1516.12	67.03%	1380.84	61.05%
Golf Course	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Estate Residential	673.67	29.78%	808.95	35.77%
Low-Density Residential	27.46	1.21%	27.46	1.21%
Medium-Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Density Residential	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Low-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
High-Intensity commercial	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Industrial	0.44	0.02%	0.44	0.02%
Transportation	28.44	1.26%	28.44	1.26%
Water	14.20	0.63%	14.20	0.63%
Institution	1.49	0.07%	1.49	0.07%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Ryans Dam WMA consists primarily of mature, estate residential subdivisions upstream of open space located in Fountainhead Regional Park. As a result, the WMAs stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

Due to the nature of development in the Ryans Dam WMA, very little formal stormwater infrastructure exists today. Some piped stormwater conveyances are noted, but no stormwater BMPs have been inventoried to date. Older development in the WMA likely pre-dates current local requirements for stormwater management. **Map 2.2.9-2** demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Ryans Dam WMA. Stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to main stem tributaries and eventually to the Occoquan River. Very limited stormwater pipe infrastructure is present in the WMA, primarily in the northern reaches near Henderson Road and Hampton Road. Fairfax County has captured a number of surface water

impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as "TBD." The Ryans Dam WMA contains approximately twelve TBDs, several of which are likely stormwater management facilities still under bond as of this draft.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.9-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional, windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream conditions, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout the County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In Ryans Dam, a total of ten inventory points were visually assessed with only two scoring a seven or higher. The highest scoring impacts in Ryans Dam were a crossing and a deficient buffer scoring an eight and seven, respectively. Table 64 below summarizes all ten inventory points captured in the 2005 SPA for Ryans Dam.

Table 64: Ryans Dam Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**>10**	**Total**
Deficient Buffers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	1
Crossings	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	8
Ditches and Pipes	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	1
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Head Cut	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Obstruction	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0
Utility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**0**	**1**	**3**	**3**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**10**

In the Ryans Dam WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include channel widening, disturbed stream buffers in the headwaters reaches of the Ryans Dam WMA and its tributaries, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Channels noted as widening are almost universally located in the residentially developed areas of the WMA, including almost the entire length of Stilwell Run. The stream conditions in the public lands in the Ryans Dam WMA are noted as generally healthy. Crossing impacts are noted as minor, with the exception of a pair in the headwaters area. One severe

crossing impact is noted in the area of Thomlar Drive just north of Fountainhead Regional Park. Another moderate to severe crossing impact is noted on an unnamed tributary near Wolf Run Shoals Road in the western reaches of the WMA. In addition, channel incision is noted on the length of a pair of tributaries in the center of the WMA running through the Rondelay and Burkeridge Estate areas.

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, Ryans Dam was categorized as excellent with a length-weighted habitat score of 145, the highest within Fairfax County. Of the estimated four miles of stream assessed in Ryans Dam, nearly 60 percent was categorized as excellent, the largest percent of any watershed in the Lower Occoquan in that category, with the remaining 40 percent being categorized as good.

Table 65: Ryans Dam Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Stillwell Run	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00%	7,561	100.00 %	7,561
Trib. to Occoquan River	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	0	0.00 %	9,326	62.47 %	5,603	37.53%	14,929
Total	**0**	**0.00 %**	**0**	**0.00 %**	**0**	**0.00 %**	**9,326**	**41.47 %**	**13,164**	**58.53%**	**22,490**

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical, and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 stream sites, one was located in the Ryans Dam watershed. Table 66 below summarizes the results. Overall, the Ryans

Dam watershed is the highest quality watershed in all of Fairfax County with the fish community rating and biological integrity rated as moderate and excellent, respectively.

Table 66: Ryans Dam Biological Integrity Ranting (2001 SPS)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Ryans Dam Unnamed Tributary (RDRT01)	Excellent	Excellent	Fair	Moderate

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. In Ryans Dam, approximately 59 percent of the streams are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage III, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening. The remaining streams fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage II, indicating head cuts that could ultimately lead into Stage III.

Table 67: Ryans Dam CEM results (SPA, 2005)

WMA	Evolution Stage										Total of Reach Length
	I		II		III		IV		V		
	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	(ft)
Ryans Dam	0	0%	9,326	41%	13,164	59%	0	0%	0	0%	22,490

2.2.10 Occoquan

General WMA Characteristics

Occoquan, the smallest of the Lower Occoquan watersheds, is adjacent to the Occoquan River and covers 3.32 square miles (2,126 acres) along the central southwestern border of Fairfax County. Occoquan lies entirely within the Piedmont Upland physiographic province, characterized by rolling hills underlain by metamorphic rocks. The Occoquan watershed consists of approximately six miles of stream and includes several small tributary systems which flow southwest and discharge directly into the Occoquan River, and ultimately into the Potomac River. Elk Horn Run, the watershed"s main tributary system, flows southwest and drains majority of the watershed. A smaller tributary, Little Occoquan Creek runs parallel to Route 123 and also discharges to the Occoquan River. A small portion of northwestern corner of Occoquan is covered by Fountainhead Regional Park, which is a multi-use area consisting of numerous trails for both biking and hiking. This parkland, which serves as a forested buffer for the Occoquan River and Reservoir, is operated by the Northern Virginia Regional Park Authority.

The Occoquan WMA is roughly bounded on the northern and eastern ends by Ox Road (Route 123), to the north by Hampton Road (Route 647), and to the extreme west by Van Thompson Road. The Occoquan WMA outlets directly to the Occoquan River and Reservoir and also contains the waterworks facility operated by Fairfax Water, which supplies drinking water to over a million northern Virginia residents.

Field Reconnaissance

The Occoquan WMA includes the vast majority of Sandy Run Regional Park at the western end of the WMA and also includes two significant named tributaries – Little Occoquan Creek and Elk Horn Run. As mentioned above, the Occoquan WMA also contains the water supply and treatment center for Fairfax Water, which distributes water to customers in the Fairfax and Prince William County geographic areas. The Occoquan WMA also contains the Vulcan Quarry, a large rock quarry located to the west of the Fairfax Water facility.

In July 1982, the Fairfax County Board of Supervisors amended the County"s Comprehensive Plan by down-zoning approximately 41,000 acres of the Occoquan watershed in Fairfax County to an R-C District (Residential – Conservation), which yields a maximum density of one dwelling unit per five acres. This down-zoning action, driven by the County"s desire to protect the Occoquan Reservoir and the drinking water it supplies to well over one million people, has served to curb intense development in the area. The Occoquan WMA lies partially within the area down-zoned by Fairfax County in 1982 and contains a total of fourteen subwatersheds.

As a result, development in the western reaches of the Occoquan WMA is primarily estate residential, which includes several established, estate subdivisions such as Hampton Hunt Estates, Hampton Woods West, and Hampton Woods East. The majority of the observed single-family residential parcels are over one acre in size and are primarily newer residential development, constructed in the early 2000s (less than 10 years old). Residential subdivision streets lack curb and gutter and no sidewalks were

observed. These larger lot developments also demonstrated significant grass and tree cover, with impervious cover estimates at ten percent or lower.

East of Elk Horn Run, development follows a different pattern, as this area is downstream of the Occoquan Dam and does not appear to be part of the down-zoned area mentioned above. In the areas north of the Fairfax Water facility, residential development and redevelopment has been occurring in the past 10 to 15 years as the entire Lorton area and areas around Laurel Hill are redeveloped. Observed lot sizes are estimated at ½ acre or smaller in some cases, with curb and gutter and sidewalks present. Grass cover is still prevalent, but an estimated 15 percent of the area is covered by impervious surfaces.

Institutional uses in the Occoquan WMA appear to be limited to parkland, as part of the Sandy Run Regional Park, the Vulcan Quarry facility, and the Fairfax Water supply facility. The Occoquan dam is located on the Occoquan River upstream of Route 123. No schools, shopping centers, or other institutional or commercial developments were observed, though newer commercial development has been constructed across Route 123 from the boundaries of this WMA. As such, grass and some tree cover are prevalent throughout the Occoquan WMA

Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

Increased impervious surfaces can result in channel erosion and downstream degradation. Water discharging from an impervious surface does not have time to slow down or infiltrate into the ground. This increases the quantity and velocity of stormwater runoff. This increased discharge into receiving waters begins to degrade the banks of the streams and instream habitat. It has been shown that levels of 10-20% impervious surface can significantly reduce the overall health of a stream (Annual Report, 2005). As one method of preventing stream degradation, stormwater management detention facilities are used throughout Fairfax County. By utilizing land use data and the contributing areas which drain to these stormwater management detention facilities, the County can identify areas of impervious surfaces and trace the flow path of the resulting discharges and quantify the treatment provided by the specific type of stormwater management detention facility. Below are the four primary stormwater management facility types and treatment provided.

- *Quantity* -Detention storage facilities that only provide quantity control
- *Quality*: -Detention storage facilities that only provide quality control
- *Quantity & Quality:* -Detention storage facilities that provide quantity + quality control
- *None*: -Areas that do not drain to detention facilities (uncontrolled runoff/no treatment), however some of these areas also are undeveloped open space and parks and therefore were not designed to capture and treat rainfall runoff.

Utilizing the County"s Technical Memorandum 3 guidance document which outlines to process for determining future conditions, Table 68 below identifies the current and future impervious surface areas based on the existing and future land use conditions for Occoquan as well as the associated treatment types. Since Occoquan is fairly

undeveloped, with only a few small areas of residential and commercial development, the area as a whole exhibits levels of imperviousness of just more than 6 percent and is expected to increase less than one percent in the future. As Table 68 shows, the majority of stormwater in Occoquan is uncontrolled and drains untreated to receiving waters, which is consistent with the small percentage of impervious area within the WMA.

Table 68: Occoquan Impervious Areas and Treatment Types

WMA Name	Percent Impervious				Current Treatment Types			
	Current Condition		Ultimate Condition		Quantity	Quality	Quantity/ Quality	None
	(acres)	*%*	(acres)	%	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)	(acres)
Occoquan	135.32	*6.36*	150.70	*7.09*	19.88	18.60	26.76	2061.13

Existing land use

See **Map 2.2.10-1** for existing and future land use for Occoquan. Occoquan consists of 2,126 acres, of which 40 percent is either forested, wetland or pasture, making it one of the least developed or rural WMAs in Fairfax County. The Occoquan WMA falls within the WSPOD. The WSPOD imposes restrictions on development and requires enhanced water quality controls for any development. Existing zoning regulations require minimum lot sizes of five-acres for the Occoquan watershed. The WSPOD, and the two large parks, Fountainhead Regional Park and Hemlock Regional Park, have prevented the area from experiencing much development. While Occoquan is primarily forested, two large industrial facilities reside in Occoquan, a large water treatment plant and the Vulcan Graham II Quarry.

Table 69: Occoquan Existing & Future Land Use (Co. GIS layer, 2008)

Land Use Description	Existing Conditions		Future Conditions	
	Acres	**Percent**	**Acres**	**Percent**
Open space, forest, parks, & recreational areas	850.96	40.02%	554.61	26.08%
Golf Course	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%
Estate Residential	566.24	26.63%	706.92	33.25%
Low-Density Residential	126.97	5.97%	473.23	22.26%
Medium-Density Residential	32.70	1.54%	31.79	1.50%
High-Density Residential	0.09	0.00%	0.09	0.0044%
Low-Intensity commercial	0.28	0.01%	0.28	0.01%
High-Intensity commercial	1.58	0.07%	3.80	0.18%
Industrial	361.03	16.98%	169.13	7.95%
Transportation	112.48	5.29%	112.48	5.29%
Water	48.41	2.28%	48.41	2.28%
Institution	25.63	1.21%	25.63	1.21%

Stormwater Infrastructure

The Occoquan WMA includes a variety of residential development along with institutional uses that include industrial and open space/parkland. The residential development includes estate residential and smaller lot residential subdivisions upstream of open space located in Sandy Run Regional Park. As a result, the WMAs stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open drainage channels with limited hard infrastructure (pipes, stormwater management facilities, BMPs, etc.) in place.

Due to the nature of development in the Occoquan WMA, the formal stormwater infrastructure exists in some of the newer residential areas. Given that several of the developed areas in the Occoquan WMA developed more recently, the stormwater management facilities present include both a water quality and water quantity management component. **Map 2.2.10-2** demonstrates the observed stormwater infrastructure conditions in the Occoquan WMA. Approximately five dry, extended detention basins are located in the WMA, with one of those basins owned by the Virginia Department of Transportation. Other stormwater infrastructure consists primarily of open channel drainage to main stem tributaries and eventually to the Occoquan River. Limited stormwater pipe infrastructure is present in the WMA, primarily in the upper reaches near Davis Drive and Wrights Hollow Lane. Fairfax County has captured a number of surface water impoundments, old farm ponds, and other catchments that may provide some anecdotal stormwater management function, but for which no stormwater management design can be confirmed at the time of this draft. These features appear in the Fairfax County stormwater management facility inventory as "TBD." The Occoquan WMA contains approximately 26 TBDs.

Stream Conditions

The Stream Conditions **Map 2.2.10-3** denotes the generally observed stream conditions as documented in the 2005 SPA and through additional, windshield level field reconnaissance performed for this study. The Stream Conditions Map demonstrates the general conditions of the main stem streams and tributaries in the watershed along with a series of features that typically impact stream condition, including stream channel erosion, channel widening, stream buffer condition, discharge pipe and ditch impacts, and utility and road crossing impacts.

As part of the 2005 SPA, an inventory and assessment of stormwater infrastructure throughout Fairfax County was conducted to determine the impacts on streams from specific infrastructure and problem areas, with the primary focus on sources of bank and bed erosion. For each watershed, a visual evaluation of infrastructure such as road culverts and stormwater outfalls was performed, and any potential impacts to the stream were documented with an impact score. The impact scores ranged from zero to ten or greater, with zero indicating no impact and ten indicating extreme conditions, such as impervious/commercial encroachment near stream.

In Occoquan, a total of 40 inventory points were visually assessed with only three scoring a 10. The highest scoring impacts in the Occoquan WMA were two erosion areas and a head cut, each scoring a 10. Table 70 below summarizes all 40 inventory points for Occoquan.

Table 70: Occoquan Inventory Points (SPA, 2005)

Inventory Type	Impact Score												
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	>10	Total
Deficient Buffers	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	8
Crossings	9	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	16
Ditches and Pipes	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	5
Erosion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	N/A	2
Head Cut	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	3
Obstruction	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	6
Utility	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	**9**	**7**	**5**	**7**	**8**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**3**	**0**	**40**

In the Occoquan WMA, the most prevalent stream condition features noted include channel widening coincident with poor overall stream habitat, disturbed stream buffers in the headwaters reaches of Elk Lick Run and its tributaries, and crossing impacts from roads and utilities. Disturbed stream buffer is noted in the headwaters of most of the tributaries in the WMA. Channels noted as widening are almost universally impacted by crossing impacts as well. Crossing impacts are generally noted as minor. Elk Lick Run was noted as having several minor crossing impacts, along with some moderate head cutting (one to two feet) and stream obstructions. One severe instance of stream head cutting (over two feet) was noted downstream of an impoundment near the Fairfax Water facility. In addition, a major obstruction was noted just upstream of the Sandy Run Regional Park on an unnamed tributary,

Stream Physical Condition

The 2005 SPA conducted visual habitat assessments of the stream conditions throughout Fairfax County. Using data based on habitat conditions, impacts on streams, general stream characteristics and geomorphic classification, a length-weighted total habitat score was calculated for each watershed and categorized into one of five habitat assessment rating categories:

1. Excellent (142-168)
2. Good (114-141)
3. Fair (87-113)
4. Poor (59-86)
5. Very Poor (32-58)

The habitat scores ranged from 32 to 168 out of a possible 200, and the County was categorized as fair, having an average length-weighted total habitat score of 104. Overall, Occoquan was categorized as good with a length-weighted habitat score of 117, which is slightly better than the Fairfax County average. Of the estimated six miles of stream assessed in Occoquan, over 52 percent were categorized as fair, with the remaining stream miles being categorized as good.

Table 71: Occoquan Habitat Assessment Summary (SPA, 2005)

Stream	Linear Feet (Percent) of Stream										
	Very Poor		Poor		Fair		Good		Excellent		Total
Elk Horn Run	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	14,002	75.51%	4,542	24.49%	0	0.00%	18,544

Little Occoquan Creek	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2,874	74.71%	973	25.29%	0	0.00%	3,846
Tributary to Elk Horn Run	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	2,742	100.00%	0	0.00%	2,742
Tributary to Occoquan River	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	6,796	100.00%	0	0.00%	6,796
Total	**0**	**1.1 %**	**0**	**0.00%**	**16,876**	**52.85%**	**15,053**	**47.15%**	**0**	**0.00%**	**31,929**

Stream Biological Habitat

In 2001, the County released the SPS Study documenting the current stream conditions throughout the county using physical, chemical, and biological evaluations. The County developed a ranking of quality for each site. Of the 138 stream sites, 1 was located in the Occoquan watershed. Table 72 below summarizes the results. Overall, Elk Horn Run"s biological integrity was rated as excellent and is among the highest in the County, but the fish community rating was very low to moderate, among the worst in the County.

Table 72: Occoquan Biological Integrity Ranting (SPS, 2001)

Stream Name and Site Code	Composite	Environmental Tables		
	Site Condition Rating	Index of Biotic Integrity	Habitat Score	Fish Taxa Richness
Elk Horn Run (OCEH01)			Excellent	Low

In addition to the 2001 SPA data, County stream conditions are assessed through bacteria, physical, chemical and biological sampling at multiple monitoring stations through the County"s stream monitoring program. These monitoring stations are randomly selected each year throughout the county to capture water quality and biological health data for various drainage areas and stream sizes. In 2006, the County had two monitoring stations located within Lower Occoquan, one in Sandy Run watershed and the second in the Occoquan Watershed. See **Table 73** below for monitoring results (Annual Report, 2006).

Table 73: Occoquan Stream Monitoring Results*

				Benthic		Fish		Bacteria
WMA	Site ID	Stream Order	Drainage Area (mi)	IBI	Rating	IBI	Rating	Sample Exceeding
Occoquan	OC0501	1	0.11	92	Excellent	N/A		2 of 4

(Annual Report, 2006 * monitoring results for 2005 sample year)

Stream Channel

To identify and track stream evolution and physical changes, the Channel Evolution Model (CEM) (Schumm et al. 1984), was developed in the early 1980s. Based on visual observations, the CEM classifies a stream evolution into five channel stages.

- Stage I: Stable- well developed base flow and bankfull channel
- Stage II: Incision – down-cutting or head cuts occur
- Stage III: Widening –bank failure is occurring
- Stage IV: Stabilizing –stream banks developing at a lower terrace
- Stage V: Stable – well developed base flow at a lower terrace

This process can take decades. If the land uses are continuously changing, then the stream never quite reaches equilibrium and will continue to respond to changes in the flow (runoff) regime. In Occoquan, approximately 78 percent of the streams are classified as CEM Evolutionary Stage III, generally characterized as unstable and show signs of widening and deepening. Another 16 percent fall into CEM Evolutionary Stage IV, indicating re-stabilization and decreased stream bank slopes, with the remaining six percent falling into CEM Evolutionary Stage II, indicating head cuts that could ultimately lead into Stage III.

Table 74: Ryans Dam CEM results (SPA, 2005)

	Evolution Stage										Total of Reach Length
	I		II		III		IV		V		
WMA	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	Length (ft)	%	(ft)
Occoquan	0	0%	1,679	6%	21,806	78%	4368	16%	0	0%	27,853

2.3 Hydrology and Water Quantity and Quality Modeling

Storm events are classified by the amount of rainfall, in inches, that occurs over the duration of a storm. The amount of rainfall depends on how frequently the storm will statistically occur and how long the storm lasts. Based on many years of rainfall data collected, storms of varying strength have been established based on the duration and probability of that event occurring within any given year. In general, smaller storms occur more frequently than larger storms of equal duration. Hence, a 2-year, 24hr storm (having a 50% chance of happening in a given year) has less rainfall than a 10-year, 24hr storm (having a 10% chance of happening in a given year). Stormwater runoff (which is related to the strength of the storm) is surplus rainfall that does not soak into the ground. This surplus rainfall flows (or „runs off") from roof tops, parking lots and other impervious surfaces and is ultimately received by storm drainage systems, culverts and streams.

Modeling is a way to mathematically predict and spatially represent what will occur with a given rainfall event. There are two primary types of models that are used to achieve this goal; hydrologic and hydraulic:

- Hydrologic models take into account several factors; the particular rainfall event of interest, the physical nature of the land area where the rainfall occurs and how quickly the resulting stormwater runoff drains this given land area. Hydrologic models can describe both the quantity of stormwater runoff and resulting

pollution, such as nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) and sediment that is transported by the runoff.

- Hydraulic models represent the effect the stormwater runoff from a particular rainfall event has on both man-made and natural systems. These models can both predict the ability man-made culverts/channels have in conveying stormwater runoff and the spatial extent of potential flooding.

Table 75 shows three storm events and the rationale for being modeled:

Table 75: Storm Event

Storm Event	Rationale for being Modeled
2-year, 24hr	Represents the amount of runoff that defines the shape of the receiving streams.
10-year, 24hr	Used to determine which road culverts will have adequate capacity to convey this storm without overtopping the road.
100-year, 24hr	Used to define the limits of flood inundation zones

2.3.1 SWMM and STEPL Results

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Storm Water Management Model (SWMM) was first developed in the early 1970s. Over the past 30 years, the model has been updated and refined and is now used throughout the country as a design and planning tool for stormwater runoff. Specifically, SWMM is a dynamic rainfall-runoff simulation model used for single event or long-term (continuous) simulation of runoff quantity and quality from primarily urban areas. The runoff component of SWM operates on a collection of subwatershed areas (or in this case, areas which pertain to the various treatment types previously described) on which rain falls and runoff is generated. The routing portion of SWMM transports this runoff through a conveyance system of pipes, channels and storage/treatment devices. SWMM tracks the quantity and quality of runoff generated within each subwatershed, and the flow rate, flow depth, and quality of water in each pipe and channel during a simulation period comprised of multiple time steps. While the SWMM model can calculate pollutant loads, the Spreadsheet Tool for Estimating Pollutant Load (STEPL) was used to determine pollutant loads for Lower Occoquan watershed. Also developed by EPA, the STEPL worksheet calculates nutrient and sediment loads from various land uses as well as calculating the load reductions that would result from the implementation of various BMPs. The nutrient loading is calculated based on the runoff volume and the pollutant concentrations in the runoff water as influenced by factors such as the land use distribution and management practices. Sediment loads are calculated based on the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the sediment delivery ratio. The sediment and pollutant load reductions that result from the implementation of BMPs are computed using known BMP efficiencies.

A major cause for many streams‟ poor water quality and aquatic habitat loss is increased levels of two particular nutrients, nitrogen and phosphorous. While, these nutrients occur naturally in soil, animal waste, plant material, and even the atmosphere, the increase of nitrogen and phosphorus from manmade sources, can be detrimental to the overall heath of the streams. Increased phosphorus and nitrogen pollutants in urbanized areas

primarily come from chemical lawn fertilizers, vehicle emissions, and discharges from municipal wastewater treatment plans.

The data below reflects current conditions only, in addition the model will be updated and results will be produced as the work progresses towards project identification/prioritization and the Draft Plan phases.

Preliminary SWMM results

Table 76 shows the Peak Flow predicted by the SWMM model from each WMA. However, in several of the WMAs, the reported peak flow is calculated by adding the peak flow of multiple streams. For example, in the Ryans Dam WMA, there are multiple streams that discharge to the Occoquan River. The reported peak flow for the Ryans Dam WMA was calculated by estimating the peak flow for each of the streams and then adding those values. A similar process was followed for the Giles Run South, High Point, Kane Creek, Old Mill Branch, Mill Branch, and Occoquan WMAs. See **Map 2.3.1-1** for specific SWMM node locations. While some of the SWMM nodes appear to be located within the Occoquan River, the model is run parallel to the river edge picking up the outfall from each of the small basins as it moves to the southeast to the final collection point, flow from the Occoquan River is not included in each of the WMAs.

Table 76: Lower Occoquan SWMM Results

SWMM Node Number		Stormwater Runoff Peak Flow Values	
	WMA	2-yr storm (cubic ft/sec)	10-yr storm (cubic ft/sec)
28	High Point	609	1,586
10	Kane Creek	758	2,105
563	Giles Run North	653	1,479
9	Giles Run South	633	1,555
718	Mill Branch	433	1,379
575	Occoquan	601	1,662
684	Sandy Run	739	2,260
550	Ryans Dam	430	1,359
706	Wolf Run	557	1,651
249	Old Mill Branch	603	1,787

STEPL results

The data provided below represents the results from the STEPL model by WMA. The pollutant loads are heavily dependent on land use distribution within the watershed management areas. **Maps 2.3.1-2, 2.3.1-3**, and **2.3.1-4** illustrate the Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, and Total Suspended Solids loads respectively throughout the

watershed. As anticipated areas in the Mill Branch watershed (Giles Run North, Giles Run South and Mill Branch) experience higher levels of pollutant loading due to the redevelopment of the Laurel Hill area. In addition, WMAs with higher percentage of impervious surface areas and minimal stormwater controls experience higher levels of pollutant loading.

Table 77: Pollutant Loads - STEPL

	Pollutant Loading			Pollutant Loading (area weighted)		
WMA	Total Nitrogen (lbs/yr)	Total Phosphorus (lbs/yr)	Total Suspended Solids (tons/yr)	Total Nitrogen (lbs/ac/yr)	Total Phosphorus (lbs/ac/yr)	Total Suspended Solids (tons/ac/yr)
High Point	6,271.25	1,148.97	323.17	1.764	0.323	0.091
Kane Creek	5,355.10	955.97	264.64	1.741	0.311	0.086
Giles Run North.	8,478.40	1,356.24	238.84	4.235	0.677	0.119
Giles Run South	15,574.38	2,238.46	438.66	6.691	0.962	0.188
Mill Branch	7,995.07	1,105.55	207.85	6.304	0.872	0.164
Occoquan	7,174.87	1,052.74	236.92	3.374	0.495	0.111
Old Mill Branch	3,708.30	663.42	194.09	1.362	0.244	0.071
Ryans Dam	2,958.06	553.31	181.95	1.308	0.245	0.080
Sandy Run	13,078.86	2,008.43	284.80	2.516	0.386	0.055
Wolf Run	8,073.92	1,235.08	170.13	2.146	0.328	0.045
TOTALS	**78,668.22**	**12,318.17**	**2,541.04**			

2.3.2 HEC-RAS Modeling

The Hydraulic Engineering Centers River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) hydraulic model was initially developed by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers in the early 1990 as a tool to manage the rivers and harbors in their jurisdiction. HEC-RAS is a one dimensional program that provides no direct modeling of the hydraulic effect of cross section shape changes, bends, and other two- and three-dimensional aspects of flow. Aside from this limitation, the model has found wide acceptance in simulating the hydraulics of water flow through natural and/or manmade channels and rivers. HEC-RAS is commonly used for modeling water flowing through a system of open channels with the objective of computing water surface profiles. The data presented in the following section is considered preliminary and will continue to be refined as more accurate flow information is available from the SWMM model calibration effort. Updated results will be produced as the work progresses towards project identification/ prioritization and the Draft Plan phases.

Preliminary HEC-RAS Development

Using HEC-RAS, hydraulic models were created for the major channels in the Lower Occoquan watershed. These major channels extend from the basin outlet to the most upstream subwatershed in the watershed. Cross sections were aligned based on

representative channel sections, and locations upstream and downstream of bridges/culvert structures. Structures such as these were identified along various stream reaches using county GIS road and stream spatial data along with the most recent aerial photography. All major structures that were considered likely to impact the water surface elevation were surveyed.

Once the HEC-RAS model are set up as described above, flow data will be entered from the SWMM model. Once the model is run, water surface elevations will be exported to GIS and the floodplain maps will be generated. A sample Lower Occoquan floodplain map is illustrated below. The flows used to develop this exhibit are not reflective of actual Lower Occoquan SWMM values.



Figure 8: Lower Occoquan draft floodplain map

Preliminary HEC-RAS Results

Since the flow results from the SWMM model was not finalized until recently, the floodplain maps are currently being developed and will be incorporated into the workbook as they become available.

2.4 Ranking of Subwatershed Areas

The County has developed goals and objectives to be applied to all watersheds during the development process. The countywide goals and objectives allow recommendations to be linked to the countywide watershed assessment. The countywide watershed planning goals are to:

1. Improve and maintain watershed functions in Fairfax County, including water quality, habitat, and hydrology.

2. Protect human health, safety, and property by reducing stormwater impacts.

3. Involve stakeholders in the protection, maintenance and restoration of county watersheds.

The countywide objectives identified are linked to the above County goals. The list of objectives allows for a countywide evaluation that addresses stakeholder concerns while providing an efficient and effective means of assessment. In addition, watershed-specific goals and objectives that are recommended by local stakeholders may also be incorporated into the watershed workbook development process. The objectives listed under Category 5 (Stewardship) will be considered during countywide watershed assessment but are not addressed in the subwatershed ranking approach.

Table 78: Fairfax County Watershed Planning Final Objectives

Objective	Linked to Goal(s)
CATEGORY 1. HYDROLOGY	
1A. Minimize impacts of stormwater runoff on stream hydrology to promote stable stream morphology, protect habitat, and support biota.	1
1B. Minimize flooding to protect property and human health and safety.	2
CATEGORY 2. HABITAT	
2A. Provide for healthy habitat through protecting, restoring, and maintaining riparian buffers, wetlands, and instream habitat.	1
2B. Improve and maintain diversity of native plants and animals in the county.	1
CATEGORY 3. STREAM WATER QUALITY	
3A. Minimize impacts to stream water quality from pollutants in stormwater runoff.	1, 2
CATEGORY 4. DRINKING WATER QUALITY	
4A. Minimize impacts to drinking water sources from pathogens, nutrients, and toxics in stormwater runoff.	2
4B. Minimize impacts to drinking water storage capacity from sediment in stormwater runoff.	2
CATEGORY 5 STEWARDSHIP	
5A. Encourage the public to participate in watershed stewardship.	3
5B. Coordinate with regional jurisdictions on watershed management and restoration efforts such as Chesapeake Bay initiatives.	3
5C. Improve watershed aesthetics in Fairfax County.	1, 3

Since the 5 objectives above cannot be directly measured, the methods require measurable indicators that are directly linked to the objectives. One or more indicators for each objective were selected, including predictive and non-predictive, or observed, indicators. Predictive indicators, such as simulated data, can be used to compare existing and future conditions. Non-predictive indicators cannot measure future conditions but will still be useful in assessing existing watershed impacts within Fairfax County.

The purpose of the subwatershed ranking approach is to provide a systematic means of compiling available water quality and natural resources information. Ranking subwatersheds based on watershed characterization and modeling results provides a tool for planners and managers to use as they consider which subwatersheds should undergo further study and/or set priorities. The ranking will be updated based on issues and problem areas identified during the introductory and issues scoping forum and advisory group meetings. The resultant data is then utilized to identify key issues and proceed with projects that will achieve the county"s watershed management goals and objectives.

Three basic indicator categories identified below are used to rank subwatershed conditions:

Table 79: Subwatershed Ranking Indicators

Indicator Type	Description
Watershed Impact	Diagnostic measures of environmental condition (e.g. water quality, habitat health, biotic integrity) which are linked to the county"s goals and objectives
Source	Quantifies the presence of stressors and/or pollutant sources
Programmatic	Reports the existence, location or benefits of stormwater management facilities or programs

Each of the 19 "Watershed Impact" indicators are tied to the County goals and objectives listed above. Below is the complete list of watershed impact indicators used to evaluate the Lower Occoquan watershed? The description column provides a clarification of how the scoring for a specific indicator was developed.

Table 80: Watershed Impact Indicators

Indicator	Description (Co. source)	Linked to Co. Objectives
Benthic Communities	Aquatic insects used as indicator of stream health (SPS, 1999)	1A, 2B, 3A
Fish Communities	Based on diversity of fish communities (SPS, 1999)	1A, 2B, 3A
Aquatic Habitat	Number of stream features that provide data about the habitat that support diverse aquatic communities (SPA, 2005)	1A, 2A
Channel Morphology	Assess the evolutionary stage of stream reaches (SPA,2005)	1A
Instream Sediment	Bank vegetative protection & bank stability (SPS, 1999)	1A, 3A, 4B
Hydrology	Dynamic rainfall-runoff simulation model	1A

Indicator	Description (Co. source)	Linked to Co. Objectives
Number of Road Hazards	Hydraulic modeling using HEC-RAS	1B
Magnitude of Road Hazards	Hydraulic modeling using HEC-RAS	1B
Residential Building Hazards	Number of residential bldgs in floodplain per square mile	1B
Non-residential Building Hazards	Number of non-residential bldgs in floodplain per square mile	1B
Flood Complaints	Citizen flood complaints per square mile (Co. dbase)	1B
RPA Riparian Habitat	Percentage of riparian habitat regulated in the Chesapeake Bay RPA limits	2A
Headwater Riparian Habitat	Percentage of forest or wetland area within 100 ft. of streams upstream of RPA boundaries	2A
Wetland Habitat	Percentage of wetland habitat (NWI)	2A
Terrestrial Forested Habitat	Percentage of forested habitat (VDOF forest classification)	2A
E. Coli	Avg. of all reported concentration per 100mL (EPA STORET)	3A, 4A
Upland Sediment	STEPL modeling avg. annual sediment loads in tons/ac/yr	3A, 4A, 4B
Nitrogen	STEPL modeling avg. annual nitrogen loads in pounds/ac/yr	3A, 4A
Phosphorus	STEPL modeling avg. annual phosphorus load in pounds/ac/yr	3A, 4A

The watershed impact indicators provide information on how endpoints of watershed processes are impacted by adverse watershed conditions. Source indicators assist in the evaluation of the sources and stressors that impact these watershed endpoints. The County identified the following 12 source indicators to be used in evaluating the Lower Occoquan watershed. In addition to the following source indicators, field reconnaissance observations were included.

Table 81: Source Indicators

Indicator	Description
Quantitative Source Indicators	
Channelized/Piped Streams	Stream centerlines used to calculate stream length (Co. GIS data)
Directly Connected Impervious Area	Based on percent Directly Connected Impervious Area
Impervious Surface	Total Impervious Area metric values for the WMAs
Stormwater Outfalls	The number of outfalls per stream mile.

Parcels Served by Septic Tanks	Based on the number of parcels served per square mile
Sanitary Sewer Crossings	Indicator will not be used in subwatershed ranking
Streambank Buffer Deficiency	The area within the 50-foot natural streams buffer.
TN Load	STEPL modeling avg. annual sediment load in tons/ac/yr
TP Load	STEPL modeling avg. annual phosphorus load in tons/ac/yr
TSS Load	STEPL modeling avg. annual nitrogen load in tons/ac/yr
Total Urban Land Cover	Based on the parcel-based land use layer
VPDES Permitted Point Sources	Number of VPDES permitted point sources within each subwatershed per square miles
Field Reconnaissance indicators	
Hot Spot Investigations	From HSI forms
Neighborhood Source Assessment	From NSA forms
All other field reconnaissance observations	From Windshield Survey

The final set of indicators; called “Programmatic Indicators” will also used in evaluating the Lower Occoquan watershed management needs. These indicators illustrate the extent and location of existing and past management efforts. Metrics and composite scores for programmatic indicators will not be calculated for these indicators during subwatershed ranking; rather, data for these indicators will be considered during identification and evaluation of watershed management needs. The following programmatic indicators will be inventoried:

- Detention Facilities
- Stream Restoration
- Riparian Buffer Restoration
- BMP Facilities
- Low Impact Development
- Inspection and maintenance of stormwater management facilities
- Inspection and repair of stormwater infrastructure and outfalls
- Dumpsite Removal
- Regional Ponds
- Volunteer Monitoring
- Subarea Treatment (used in watershed modeling studies)

The Watershed Impacts, Source Impact, and Programmatic Impact indicators are tied to a scoring process. These scores are rolled up into composite scores which are used in the prioritization and subwatershed ranking process. In the process of compiling the draft ranking for Lower Occoquan, surrogate metric values were assigned to a subwatershed when a particular indicator or actual data was missing. The approach followed in assigning surrogate values was based on the current Fairfax County Watershed Management Plan Subwatershed Ranking Approach document. This guidance document provided several factors in priority which should be considered when assigning surrogate metric values.

2.4.1 Lower Occoquan Results

The overall composite score for the Watershed Ranking is shown in **Map 2.4.1-1**. This displays the source composite score for all of the subwatersheds in the Lower Occoquan watershed. The Source Composite Score is computed as a simple average of roughly a dozen individual source indicator scores. The source composite score has a possible range from a maximum of 10 to a minimum of 2.5. The calculated source composite scores for the individual subwatersheds that make up the Lower Occoquan watershed range from a minimum of 4.58 to a maximum of 7.96. Since the source composite score is computed with a distinct set of indicators from the overall watershed impact score, the values corresponding with high quality or low quality may be different than for the overall watershed impact score This range establishes the bounds on the gradation from generally good quality (green) to comparatively poor quality (red) on the map.

In the Lower Occoquan watershed, different parts of the watershed differ considerably in terms of watershed quality as measured by the overall watershed impact composite score. The watershed‟s western and northern portions, (including Old Mill Branch, Wolf Run, Ryans Dam, and Sandy Run WMAs), all of which discharge directly to the Occoquan River and Reservoir, show generally good watershed quality. These subwatersheds include a wide area that was down-zoned by Fairfax County in 1982 to protect the water quality of the Occoquan Reservoir.

Several of the subwatersheds in the I-95 corridor of the southern grouping of subwatersheds, including Giles Run North and Giles Run South, show poorer overall watershed quality. The eastern portion of the watershed (including the majority of the Kane Creek and High Point WMAs) also shows generally good watershed quality, as much of this land is either Federally protected or a state park. The more developed central portion of the watershed (Mill Branch, Giles Run North and Giles Run South WMAs) shows a generally average watershed quality, but also a great deal of variation between individual subwatersheds. The older, more heavily developed headwaters of the Mill Branch watershed (Mill Branch, Giles Run North and Giles Run South WMAs) show the poorest watershed quality in general. The Mill Branch WMA is experiencing significant redevelopment as the Laurel Hill project. Pockets of better water quality still exist where undeveloped lands remain intact.

As a caveat, the watershed impact scores contain considerable uncertainty because on average, 28% of the weighted composite score is derived from surrogate metric values.

Fairfax County‟s 1982 downzoning of much of the County‟s Occoquan River watershed has preserved higher source quality in the watershed. The subwatersheds to the west of the Laurel Hill redevelopment project and Interstate 95 (Old Mill Branch, Wolf Run, Ryans Dam, Sandy Run, and Occoquan) each have generally high source quality. The

more densely developed subwatersheds that include Laurel Hill and the I-95 corridor (Mill Branch, Giles Run North, and Giles Run South), however, have generally poor source quality, designated with a higher concentration of orange and red subwatersheds on the map. The eastern reaches of the Lower Occoquan subwatersheds, including Kane Creek and High Point, are characterized by above average to good source quality, with zones of average quality around the Mason Neck marina area. The source composite score has considerably less uncertainty than the overall watershed impact score because a much smaller percentage of the indicator scores (< 5%) were calculated based on surrogate metrics.


Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
Ryans Dam
Sandy Run
Occoquan
Giles Run North
Mill Branch
Giles Run South
Kane Creek
BELMONT BAY
GUNSTON COVE
OCCOQUAN BAY
High Point
POTOMAC RIVER
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
DRAFT
0 3,100 6,200 9,300 Feet
Legend
WMA Boundary
Lakes/Ponds
Map 2.1-1
Lower Occoquan Watershed Management Areas



Map 2.1-2

Lower Occoquan Subwatersheds

Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-Giles Run North
(Mill Branch)

Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan-Giles Run North
(Mill Branch)

Legend

- WMA Boundary
- Agricultural
- Open Space
- Forested
- Golf Course
- Estate Residential
- Low Density Residential
- Medium Density Residential
- High Density Residential
- Transportation
- Institutional
- Low Intensity Commericial
- High Intensity Commercial
- Industrial
- Water

Map 2.2.1-1
Giles Run North (Mill Branch)
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Pohick - Middle Run
Pohick - Middle South Run
Sandy Run
Pohick - Lower South Run
SILVERBROOK RD
HOOES RD
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Occoquan
Pohick Lower
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
DRAFT
0 0.25 0.5 Miles
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch - Giles Run North
Map 2.2.1-2
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch - Giles Run North
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Potrick - Potomac
Kane Creek
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0
0.125
0.25
Miles
Map 2.2.2-3
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch - Giles Run South
Stream Conditions



Map 2.2.5-1
High Point
Current and Future Land Use



Map 2.2.6-1
Wolf Run
Existing and Future Land Use


Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Kane Creek
Giles Run
South
(Mill Branch)
BELMONT BV
GUNSTON RD
SPRINGFIELD
DR
High Point
HARLEY RD
HIGH POINT RD
High Point
Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Kane Creek
Location of
Fairfax County
Watersheds
Legend
WMA Boundary
Agricultural
Open Space
Forested
Golf Course
Estate Residential
Low Density Residential
Medium Density Residential
High Density Residential
Transportation
Institutional
Low Intensity Commericial
High Intensity Commercial
Industrial
Water
0
3,250
6,500
9,750
Feet
Map 2.2.7 -1
Kane Creek
Current and Future Land Use


YATES FORD RD
EVANSFORD RD
KINCHELOE RD
SHARI HUNT GV
CLIFTON HUNT DR
OLD YATES FORD RD
SYLVAN WY
Wolf Run
Ryans Dam
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Old Mill Branch
Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan -
Old Mill Branch
0
3,100
6,200
9,300
Feet
Legend
WMA Boundary
Agricultural
Open Space
Forested
Golf Course
Estate Residential
Low Density Residential
Medium Density Residential
High Density Residential
Transportation
Institutional
Low Intensity Commericial
High Intensity Commercial
Industrial
Water
Map 2.2.8-1
Old Mill Branch
Existing and Future Land Use



Map 2.2.9-1
Lower Occoquan - Ryans Dam
Existing and Future Land Use



Map 2.2.10-1
Occoquan
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Pohick - Middle Run
Pohick - Middle South Run
Sandy Run
Pohick - Lower South Run
SILVERBROOK RD
HOOES RD
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Occoquan
Pohick Lower
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2 : Incision
CEM - Type 3 : Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0 0.15 0.3 Miles
Map 2.2.1-3
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch - Giles Run North
Stream Conditions


Giles Run North
(Mill Branch)
Mill Branch
(Mill Branch)
Kane Creek
5TH PL
4TH PL
3RD PL
SANGER ST
FURNACE RD
MIMS ST
RICHMOND HY
GILES RUN RD
OLD COLCHESTER RD
MADISON DR
BELMONT BV
BARD ST
ANITA DR
APOLLO ST
GREENE DR
HYDE ST
95
Location of
Fairfax County
Watersheds
Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Giles Run South (Mill Branch)
Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan
Giles Run South (Mill Branch)
0
2,200
4,400
6,600
Feet
Legend
WMA Boundary
Agricultural
Open Space
Forested
Golf Course
Estate Residential
Low Density Residential
Medium Density Residential
High Density Residential
Transportation
Institutional
Low Intensity Commericial
High Intensity Commercial
Industrial
Water
Map 2.2.2-1
Giles Run South (Mill Branch)
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
I-95
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Potomac
Kane Creek
DRAFT
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
0
0.25
0.5
Miles
Map 2.2.2-2
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch - Giles Run South
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
Potomac
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Karns Creek
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0
0.125
0.25
Miles
Map 2.2.2-3
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch - Giles Run South
Stream Conditions



Map 2.2.3-1
Mill Branch (Mill Branch)
Existing and Future Land Use


N
Vicinity Map
Not to Scale
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Occoquan
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Occoquan River
DRAFT
0
0.15
0.3
Miles
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Map 2.2.3-2
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
Occoquan
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
Occoquan River
DRAFT
0 0.1 0.2 Miles
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEIM - Type 2: Incision
CEIM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
Map 2.2.3-3
Lower Occoquan
Mill Branch
Stream Conditions



Map 2.2.4-1
Sandy Run
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Popes Head 3
Pohick - Sideburn B
Pohick - Upper South Run
Wolf Run
CLIFTON RD
HENDERSON RD
BURKE LAKE RD
Pohick - Middle South Run
Sandy Run
Ryans Dam
Mill Branch
Giles Run North
Occoquan
DRAFT
0
0.4
0.8
Miles
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Sandy Run
Map 2.2.4-2
Lower Occoquan
Sandy Run
Stormwater Infrastructure


Popes Head 3
Pohick - Sideburn B
Pohick - Upper South Run
BURKE LAKE RD
OXY RD
Wolf Run
CLIFTON RD
HENDERSON RD
Pohick - Middle South Run
Sandy Run
Ryans Dam
Mill Branch
Giles Run North
Occoquan
N
Vicinity Map
Not to Scale
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Sandy Run
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5' - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0
0.4
Miles
Map 2.2.4-3
Lower Occoquan
Sandy Run
Stream Conditions


Giles Run South
(Mill Branch)
Kane Creek
POHICK BAY DR
GUNSTON RD
DELPHINIUM TR
MOUNT VERNON BV
KENTIA TR
NICOTINE TR
CHAPMAN RD
RIVER DR
OAK GROVE ST
HIGH POINT RD
ANCHORAGE RD
Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
High Point
Location of
Fairfax County
Watersheds
Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
High Point
Legend
WMA Boundary
Agricultural
Open Space
Forested
Golf Course
Estate Residential
Low Density Residential
Medium Density Residential
High Density Residential
Transportation
Institutional
Low Intensity Commericial
High Intensity Commercial
Industrial
Water
0
3,900
7,800
11,700
Feet
Map 2.2.5-1
High Point
Current and Future Land Use


Accotink - Mainstem 7
Accotink - Mainstem 8
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
Pohick- Lower
Pohick Potomac
Accotink - Potomac
Accotink Bay
Pohick Bay
Pohick- Potomac
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
GUNSTON RD
Kane Creek
Gunston Cove
Belmont Bay
High Point
GUNSTON RD
Potomac River
DRAFT
Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Storm Drainage Facility
High Point
Other WMA Boundaries
High Point
Lake
0
0.45
0.9
Miles
Map 2.2.5-2
Lower Occoquan
High Point
Stormwater Infrastructure


Accotink - Mainstem 7
Accotink - Mainstem 8
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
Pohick- Lower
Pohick Potomac
Accotink - Potomac
Accotink Bay
Pohick Bay
Pohick- Potomac
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
GUNSTON RD
Gunston Cove
Kane Creek
Belmont Bay
High Point
GUNSTON RD
Potomac River
DRAFT
N
Vicinity Map
Not to Scale
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Storm Drainage Facility
Lake
Other WMA Boundaries
High Point
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0 0.225 0.45 Miles
Map 2.2.5-3
Lower Occoquan
High Point
Stream Conditions



Map 2.2.6-1
Wolf Run
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Castle Creek
Popes Head 3
Lower Popes Head
Wolf Run
Old Mill Branch
Sandy Run
Ryans Dam
CLIFTON
HENDERSON
BURKE LAKE
DRAFT
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Park Boundary
Other WMA Boundaries
Wolf Run
0
0.4
0.0
Miles
Map 2.2.6-2
Lower Occoquan
Wolf Run
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Castle Creek
Popes Head 3
CHAPEL RD
Lower Popes Head
HENDERSON RD
CLIFTON RD
Wolf Run
Sandy Run
Old Mill Branch
Ryans Dam
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Wolf Run
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1 - 2'
> 2'
0
0.2
0.4
Miles
Map 2.2.6-3
Lower Occoquan
Wolf Run
Stream Conditions

Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Kane Creek

Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Kane Creek

Location of
Fairfax County
Watersheds

Giles Run
South
(Mill Branch)

High Point

BELMONT BV
GUNSTON RD
SPRINGFIELD DR
HARLEY RD
HIGH POINT RD

0 3,250 6,500 9,750 Feet

Legend

- WMA Boundary
- Agricultural
- Open Space
- Forested
- Golf Course
- Estate Residential
- Low Density Residential
- Medium Density Residential
- High Density Residential
- Transportation
- Institutional
- Low Intensity Commericial
- High Intensity Commercial
- Industrial
- Water

Map 2.2.7-1
Kane Creek
Current and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Pohick - Lower
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
Accotink - Mainst
Pohick - Potomac
Pohick Bay
Pohick - Potomac
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
GUNSTON RD
Gunston Cove
Kane Creek
Belmont Bay
High Point
Potomac River
DRAFT
0
0.375
0.75
Miles
Legend
Rivers and Streams
Storm Drainage
Road
Building
Drainage Complaint
Park Boundary
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Kane Creek
Lake
Map 2.2.7-2
Lower Occoquan
Kane Creek
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Pohick - Lower
RICHMOND HWY (RT 1)
RICHMOND
RICHMOND
Accotink - Mainst
Pohick - Potomac
Pohick Bay
Pohick - Potomac
Mill Branch- Giles Run South
GUNSTON RD
Gunston Cove
Kane Creek
Belmont Bay
High Point
Potomac River
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Storm Drainage Facility
Lake
Other WMA Boundaries
Kane Creek
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0
0.2
0.4
Miles
Map 2.2.7-3
Lower Occoquan
Kane Creek
Stream Conditions


Johnny Moore Bull Run
Lower Popes Head
HENDERSON RD
Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Wolf Run
Old Mill Branch
HENDERSON RD
Ryans Dam
DRAFT
0
0.3
0.6
Miles
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Old Mill Branch
Map 2.2.8-2
Lower Occoquan
Old Mill Branch
Stormwater Infrastructure


YATES FORD RD
EVANSFORD RD
KINGHELOE RD
SHARI HUNT GV
CLIFTON HUNT DR
OLD YATES FORD RD
SYLVAN WY
Wolf Run
Ryans Dam
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
Current Landuse
Lower Occoquan-
Old Mill Branch
Future Landuse
Lower Occoquan -
Old Mill Branch
0
3,100
6,200
9,300
Feet
Legend
WMA Boundary
Agricultural
Open Space
Forested
Golf Course
Estate Residential
Low Density Residential
Medium Density Residential
High Density Residential
Transportation
Institutional
Low Intensity Commericial
High Intensity Commercial
Industrial
Water
Map 2.2.8-1
Old Mill Branch
Existing and Future Land Use


Johnny Moore Bull Run
Lower Popes Head
HENDERSON RD
Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Wolf Run
Old Mill Branch
HENDERSON RD
Ryans Dam
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2: Incision
CEM - Type 3: Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Old Mill Branch
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0 0.15 0.3 Miles
Map 2.2.8-3
Lower Occoquan
Old Mill Branch
Stream Conditions



DRAFT

Map 2.2.9-1
Lower Occoquan - Ryans Dam
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Wolf Run
WOLF RUN SHOALS RD
HENDERSON RD
Ryans Dam
Old Mill Branch
HAMPTON RD
DRAFT
0
0.25
0.5
Miles
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Ryans Dam
Map 2.2.9-2
Lower Occoquan
Ryans Dam
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Wolf Run
WOLF RUN SHOALS RD
HENDERSON RD
Ryans Dam
Old Mill Branch
HAMPTON RD
DRAFT
0 0.15 0.3 Miles
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2 : Incision
CEM - Type 3 : Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Ryans Dam
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5' - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
Map 2.2.9-3
Lower Occoquan
Ryans Dam
Stream Conditions



Map 2.2.10-1
Occoquan
Existing and Future Land Use


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Pohick - Middle South R
Sandy Run
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
HAMPTON RD
HOOSE RD
FURNACE RD
Occoquan
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
DRAFT
0
0.3
0.6
Miles
Legend
Storm Drainage
Rivers and Streams
Road
Park Boundary
Building
Drainage Complaint
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Occoquan
Map 2.2.10-2
Lower Occoquan
Occoquan
Stormwater Infrastructure


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Pohick - Middle South R
Sandy Run
Mill Branch- Giles Run North
HAMPTON RD
HOOSE RD
FURNACE RD
Occoquan
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
DRAFT
Legend
Poor/Very Poor Habitat
Streams and Rivers
CEM - Type 2 : Incision
CEM - Type 3 : Widening
Erosion/Bank Instability
Disturbed Buffer
Road
Park Boundary
Lake
Storm Drainage Facility
Other WMA Boundaries
Occoquan
Obstruction Impact
Dump Site Impact
Head Cut Height
Pipe Impact
Ditch Impact
Utility Impact
Crossing Impact
Minor to Moderate
Moderate to Severe
Severe to Extreme
0.5 - 1'
1' - 2'
> 2'
0
0.15
0.3
Miles
Map 2.2.10-3
Lower Occoquan
Occoquan
Stream Conditions


Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
706
Ryans Dam
Sandy Run
556584
Occoquan
Giles Run North
563
576
Mill Branch
718
Giles Run South
9
Kane Creek
BELMONT BAY
High Point
OCCOQUAN
GUNSTON COVE
20
POTOMAC RIVER
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
DRAFT
0 3,400 6,800 10,200 Feet
Legend
GIS Nodes (SWMM)
Map 2.3.1-1
Lower Occoquan
SWMM Node Location


Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
Sandy Run
Ryans Dam
Occoquan
Giles Run North
Mill Branch
95
1
Giles Run South
Kane Creek
BELMONT BAY
GUNSTON COVE
OCCOQUAN BAY
High Point
POTOMAC RIVER
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
DRAFT
0 3,250 6,500 9,750 Feet
Legend
0.673756 - 2.096911
2.096912 - 3.384155
3.384156 - 6.726027
6.726028 - 16.475089
WMA Boundary
Streams
Lakes/Ponds
Map 2.3.1-2
Existing Total Nitrogen Load (lb/ac/yr)
Lower Occoquan Watershed


Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
Sandy Run
Ryans Dam
Occoquan
Giles Run North
Mill Branch
Giles Run South
Kane Creek
BELMONT BAY
GUNSTON COVE
OCCOQUAN BAY
High Point
POTOMAC RIVER
95
1
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
N
DRAFT
0 3,250 6,500 9,750 Feet
Legend
0.157326 - 0.344084
0.344085 - 0.658124
0.658125 - 1.167609
1.167610 - 2.644253
WMA Boundary
Streams
Lakes/Ponds
Map 2.3.1-3
Existing Total Phosphorus Load (lb/ac/yr)
Lower Occoquan Watershed


Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
Sandy Run
Ryans Dam
Occoquan
Giles Run North
Mill Branch
95
Giles Run South
1
Kane Creek
BELMONT BAY
GUNSTON COVE
OCCOQUAN BAY
High Point
POTOMAC RIVER
Location of Fairfax County Watersheds
N
DRAFT
0 3,250 6,500 9,750 Feet
Legend
0.028841 - 0.079265
0.079266 - 0.116925
0.116926 - 0.175286
0.175287 - 0.414617
WMA Boundary
Streams
Lakes/Ponds
Map 2.3.1-4
Existing Total
Suspended Solids Load
(lb/ac/yr)
Lower Occoquan Watershed


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Old Mill Branch
Will Run
Ryans Dam
Sandy Run
Mill Branch- Mill Branch
Mill Branch- Glade Run South
Kane Creek
High Point
DRAFT
Legend
Streams & Rivers
Watershed Boundaries
Lakes & Ponds
High Quality
Low Quality
0 0.5 1 2 Miles
Map 2.4.1-1
Lower Occoquan Watershed
Impact Composite Score


Vicinity Map
N
Not to Scale
Old Mill Branch
Wolf Run
Ryans Dam
Sandy Run
Occoquan
Mill Branch-Giles Run North
Mill Branch-Mill Branch
Mill Branch-Giles Run South
Kane Creek
High Point
DRAFT
N
0 0.5 1 2 Miles
Legend
Streams & Rivers
Watershed Boundaries
Lakes & Ponds
High Quality
Low Quality
Map 2.4.1-2
Lower Occoquan Watershed Source Composite Score